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## Error estimate in the generalized Szegö theorem

## A. Laptev, Yu. Safarov

1. Let A be a positive selfadjoint elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order 1 on a smooth compact manifold $M$ without boundary, $\operatorname{dim} M=n \geqslant 2$. The spectrum of the operator A consists of infinite number of eigenvalues $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow+\infty, k \rightarrow \infty$. By $N(\lambda)$ we denote a counting function of the spectrum of operator $A$,

$$
N(\lambda)=\#\left\{k: \lambda_{k}<\lambda\right\}
$$

(we take into account the multiplicity of the eigenvalues). Let $\Pi_{\lambda}$ be the spectral projectors of operator $A$ corresponding to the intervals $(0, \lambda)$. We consider a family of operators

$$
B_{\lambda}=\Pi_{\lambda} B \Pi_{\lambda},
$$

where $B$ is a selfadjoint pseudodifferential operator of order zero. The rank of the operator $\mathrm{B}_{\lambda}$ is finite, so it has a finite number of eigenvalues $\mu_{\mathrm{j}}(\lambda)$ lying in the interval

$$
K=[-\|B\|,\|B\|] \subset \mathbb{R}^{1}
$$

The number of these eigenvalues is infinitely increasing when $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$.
Let $\rho_{\lambda}$ be a measure on K which is equal to the sum of the Dirac measures at the points $\mu_{j}(\lambda)$, i.e.

$$
\rho_{\lambda}(f)=\sum_{j} f\left(\mu_{j}(\lambda)\right)=\operatorname{Trf}\left(\Pi_{\lambda} B \Pi_{\lambda}\right)
$$

for any function $f \in C(K)$. We shall study the asymptotic behaviour of $\rho_{\lambda}$ when $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$.

It is well known [1, theorem 29.1.7] that the measures $\lambda^{-n} \rho_{\lambda}$ converge weakly to the measure $\rho_{0}$ which is defined by the following formula

$$
\rho_{0}(f)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{a_{0}(x, \xi)<1} f\left(b_{0}(x, \xi)\right) d x d \xi \text {, }
$$

where $f \in C(K)$ and $a_{0}, b_{0}$ are the principal symbols of the operators $A$ and $B$. By other words, for any $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{K})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\lambda}(f)=\rho_{0}(f) \lambda^{n}+o\left(\lambda^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is considered as a generalization of the classical Szego theorem [2] on the contraction of a multiplication operator to the space of trigonometrical polynomials. It dues to Guillemin [3].

We prove that for sufficiently smooth function $f$ the remainder in (1) is $0\left(\lambda^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$. Our main results are the following theorems.

Theorem. There exist an integer $r$ and a positive constant $C$ such that for any function $f \in C^{5}(K)$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{\lambda}(f)-\rho_{0}(f) \lambda^{n}\right| \leqslant C\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right)\|f\|_{C^{r}}(K) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. If $B$ is a multiplication by sufficiently smooth function $b_{0}(x)$ then the estimate (2) is valid for $r=2$.
2. Let $\varphi_{j}(x)$ be eigenfunctions of the operator $A$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$, and $\left(\varphi_{j}, \varphi_{k}\right)=\delta_{j}^{k}$. The proof of the generalized Szegö theorem is based on the following well known result (see [1, §29.1]).

Theorem 3. For any pseudodifferential operator H of order zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\lambda_{j}<\lambda} \overline{\varphi_{j}(x)} H \varphi_{j}(x)= \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{a_{0}(x, \xi)<1} h_{0}(x, \xi) d \xi \lambda^{n}+0\left(\lambda^{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in M$, where $h_{0}$ is the principal symbol of the operator $H$.

The theorem 3 (with $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{I}$ ) immediately implies that for any bounded function $h(x)$ and corresponding multiplication operator $\{\mathrm{h}\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\operatorname{Tr} \Pi_{\lambda}\{h\} \Pi_{\lambda}-(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{a_{0}(x, \xi)<1} h(x) d x d \xi \lambda^{n}\right| \leqslant \\
& \quad \leqslant C \lambda^{n-1} \sup _{x}|\mathrm{~h}(x)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda \geqslant 1$, and the constant $C$ does not depend on $h$. In particular,

$$
N(\lambda)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{a<1} d x d \xi \lambda^{n}+0\left(\lambda^{n-1}\right)
$$

If $f$ is a smooth function then $f(B)$ is a pseudodifferential operator and its principal symbol is $f\left(b_{0}\right)$. Therefore according to the theorem 3 , for $f \in C^{\infty}(K)$ we have
$\operatorname{Tr} \Pi_{\lambda} f(B) \Pi_{\lambda}=\rho_{0}(f) \lambda^{n}+0\left(\lambda^{n-1}\right)$,
where remainder somehow depends on f. It is easy to see from the proof of the theorem 3 [1, §29.1] that this remainder term is estimated for $\lambda \geqslant 1$ by
$C \lambda^{n-1}\|f\|_{C}{ }^{r}(K)$
where the constant $C$ and the integer $r$ are independent of $f$.

Remark 4. We suppose that this estimate holds for $r=2$. If it is true then the theorem 1 is valid for $\mathrm{r}=2$ as well.
3. Now we shall prove the following abstract theorem.

Theorem 5. Let $A$ be a positive selfadjoint operator and $B$ be a bounded selfadjoint operator in a Hilbert space. Suppose that spectrum of the operator $A$ consists of eigenvalues, and let $\Pi_{\lambda}$ be the spectral projectors corresponding to the
intervals $([0, \lambda]), N(\lambda)$ be the counting eigenvalues function, and

$$
N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)=\sup _{\mu \leqslant \lambda}[N(\mu)-N(\mu-\varepsilon)] .
$$

Assume that the comutator $\widetilde{B}=[A, B]$ is a bounded operator. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ and for any function $f \in C^{2}(K)$ the following inequality holds
$\left|\operatorname{Tr} \Pi_{\lambda} f(B) \Pi_{\lambda}-\operatorname{Tr} f\left(\Pi_{\lambda} B \Pi_{\lambda}\right)\right|$
$\leqslant\left(2\|B\|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\|\widetilde{B}\|^{2}\right) N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \max _{K}|f "|$,
where $K=[-\|B\|,\|B\|]$, and the constant $C_{\varepsilon}$ depends on $\varepsilon$ only.
On account of (3) the theorems 1 and 2 follow from the results mentioned in the section 2.

We deduce (3) from the following well known Berezin's inequality.

Theorem 6. Let B be a bounded self adjoint operator in a Hilbert space, $K=[-\|B\|,\|B\|]$, and $\Pi$ be a selfadjoint projector, rank $\Pi<\infty$. Then for any convex function $\psi \in C(K)$
$\operatorname{Tr} \Pi \psi(B) \Pi \geqslant \operatorname{Tr} \psi(\Pi B \Pi)$.

Corollary 7. Let $\varphi \in C^{2}(\mathrm{~K})$ is a strictly convex function. Then for any $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\mathrm{~K})$
$|\operatorname{Tr} \Pi f(B) \Pi-\operatorname{Tr} f(\Pi B \Pi)| \leqslant$
$\leqslant\left(\max \left|\frac{\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}\right|\right)(\operatorname{Tr} \Pi \varphi(\mathrm{B}) \Pi-\operatorname{Tr} \varphi(\Pi В \Pi) \mid$.
In particular (if $\varphi(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ),
$|\operatorname{Tr} \Pi f(B) \Pi-\operatorname{Tr} f(\Pi B \Pi)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(\underset{k}{\max }|f \||)\|(I-\Pi) B \Pi\|_{2}^{2}$,
where $\|.\|_{2}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Proof. Applying the Berezin's inequality to the convex functions

$$
\Psi_{ \pm}=\left(\max _{\mathrm{K}}\left|\frac{\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}\right|\right) \varphi \pm \mathrm{f}
$$

we obtain exactly (4).
In view of (5), to prove the theorem 5 it is sufficient to estimate $\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ by $\left(2\|B\|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\|\widetilde{B}\|^{2}\right) N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$. Note that $\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant 2\left(\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B\left(\Pi_{\lambda}-\Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)$, and $\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}, B\left(\Pi_{\lambda}-\Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right)\left\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant\right\| B \|^{2} N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\right.$. So it remains to estimate $\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ only . According to the definition

$$
\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{\lambda_{k} \geqslant \lambda} \sum_{\lambda_{j}<\lambda-\varepsilon}\left|\left(B \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{k}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

where $\varphi_{j}$ are the eigenfunctions of the operator corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$. Since $\left(\mathrm{B} \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}\right)=\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~B}} \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{\lambda_{k} \geqslant \lambda} \sum_{\lambda_{j}<\lambda-\varepsilon}\left|\left(B \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{k}\right)\right|^{2},
$$

$$
\leqslant \sum_{k} \sum_{\lambda_{j}<\lambda-\varepsilon}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-2}\left|\left(\tilde{B}_{\varphi_{j}, \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant
$$

$$
\leqslant\|\widetilde{B}\|^{2} \sum_{\lambda_{j}<\lambda-\varepsilon}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-2}=\|B\|^{2} \int_{0}^{\lambda-\varepsilon}(\lambda-\mu)^{-2} d N(\mu)
$$

$$
\leqslant\left\|\tilde{B}^{2}\right\|^{2} N_{\varepsilon / 2}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{k *}(\lambda-k \varepsilon / 2)^{-2}
$$

where $(\lambda-\varepsilon / 2) \geqslant k^{*} \varepsilon / 2>(\lambda-\varepsilon)$. The sum in the right hand side is estimated by some constant $C_{\varepsilon}$ not depending on $\lambda$. Therefore

$$
\left\|\left(I-\Pi_{\lambda}\right) B \Pi_{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant C_{\varepsilon}\|B\|^{2} N_{\varepsilon / 2}(\lambda)
$$

It completes the proof of the theorem 5 and of the theorems 1 and 2.
Remark 8. Under some additional assumptions one can obtain a two-term asymptotic formula for $\operatorname{Tr} \Pi_{\lambda} f(B) \Pi_{\lambda}$. However, even under these assumptions the difference
$\operatorname{Tr} \Pi_{\lambda} f(B) \Pi_{\lambda}-\operatorname{Trf}\left(\Pi_{\lambda} B \Pi_{\lambda}\right)$
can really have the order $0\left(\lambda^{n-1}\right)$. So the second term in (1) (if it exists) can be different one.

Remark 9. The theorem 5 can be applied in various different problems as well. For example, it allows to improve some results from [4].
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