S. REMPEL BERT-WOLFGANG SCHULZE Complete Mellin symbols and the conormal asymptotics in boundary value problems

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1984), p. 1-25 <http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1984____A5_0>

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (http://www. math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

Complete Mellin symbols and the conormal asymptotics in boundary value problems

by S. Rempel and B.-W. Schulze

- O. Introduction
- 1. Boundary symbolic calculus and Mellin operators
- 2. Mixed boundary problems and branching of exponents
- 3. General singularity types
- 4. Notes on the spectral asymptotics
 References

0. Introduction

It is well known that the solutions of large classes of boundary value problems (bvp's) or of equations on manifolds with singularities have an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$u(y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j(y)} \xi_{jk}(y) t^{j} \log t \qquad (0,1)$$

as t $\rightarrow 0$, with exponents $p_j \in \mathbb{C}_0$. The variable y runs tangent to the boundary or on a manifold M.

If $u \in C^{\infty}(M \times R_{+})$ admits an expansion like (0.1) we speak about a conormal asymptotics of the type

$$q^{2} = (p_{j}, m_{j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}$$
 (0.2)

 $(p_j, m_j) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}_+$. For \mathbb{C}^{∞} regularity up to the boundary, e.g. in classical elliptic bvp's, (0.1) is the Taylor expansion, where $m_j = 0$ and $p_j = j$. On the other hand a general conormal asymptotics of the form (0.1) was observed for

- (i) mixed bvp's, i.e. when the boundary conditions may have jumps,
- (ii) bvp's for operators of the form

 $A(y,t,D_{y},t^{\delta}D_{t}), \chi \leq 1,$ (0.3)

- (iii) operators on manifolds with singularities such as conical points, wedges, corners, and bvp's in domains with boundaries that have singularities,
- (iv) classes of ordinary differential equations with degenerate symbols (such as of Fuchs type) and coefficients with conormal asymtptotics.

The list of problems can easily be enlarged, cf., for instance, the paper of Lee, Melrose [9]. In many cases one also studies asymptotic expansions of the form (0.1) as t $\rightarrow \infty$. The problem of characterizing the type of the asymptotics of the solutions is rather classical and of practical interest in many concrete situations, e.g. in numerical methods. A large bibliography was given in [8].

It became a typical approach to use the Mellin transform or Mellin operators in t direction involved in parametrix constructions. Let us mention e.g. results of Eskin [4] on the half axis for operators (0.3) with $\chi = 0$, a higherdimensional theory of the authors [13],[14], and the theory of Melrose [10] for χ = 1.

Parametrix constructions for ψ DOs on a complete symbolic level in C^{∞} spaces with conormal asymptotics were obtained by the authors. Here we sketch some of the results. The details are given in [15], which is the first of a series of papers that employ systematically numerous classes of Mellin operators in the applications mentioned at the beginning.

For classical elliptic bvp's there is a complete symbolic calculus which yields parametrices in C^{∞} spaces up to the boundary, cf.[2],[12]. The basic difficulty of constructing a good analogue in the cases (i), (ii), (iii) is that we have to expect individual singularity types (0.2) with a complicated branching behaviour of the $p_j(y)$ and changing of multiplicities $m_j(y)$ under varying y. It is the aim of our theory to arrange the symbolic calculus and the negligible operators sensitive enough to reflect that interesting phenomenon and to obtain parametrices in classes of functions with conormal asymptotics.

1. Boundary symbolic calculus and Mellin operators

In this section we consider boundary symbols that appear in the analysis of pseudo-differential operators on manifolds with boundary, or locally on the half space \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+} . The algebra generated by the pseudo-differential action is the model of other variants that belong to the applications mentioned at the beginning. We may deal with symbols of order zero modulo order reducing operators. With $a(\xi) \in$ $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus 0)$, positively homogeneous of order zero, we associate a family of operators on \mathbb{R}_{+}

- 3 -

$$op_{\psi}(a)(\xi'): L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}),$$
 (1)

$$\begin{split} \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \ \xi &= (\xi', \tau), \ \text{defined by } \text{op}_{\psi}(a) = r^+ \text{op}(a)e^+, \\ \text{op}(a)(\xi')u &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{-1} a(\xi', \tau)u(s)dsd\tau, \ r^+u &= u\big|_{\mathbb{R}_+}, \\ e^+ as \text{ in Section 0. The splitting of the covariables refers} \\ \text{to the coordinates } x &= (x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x' &= (x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}). \end{split}$$

If a has the transmission property, (1) induces a continuous operator $\mathcal{J}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+})$, $\mathcal{J}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})|_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}}$, if not we get an operator between spaces with more general asymptotics. The algebra generated by operators op_{\u03c0}(a) also contains so-called Mellin and Green operators.

As usual, the Mellin transform is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{u}(z) = (Mu)(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1}u(t)dt,$$

first for $u \in C_0^{\omega}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and then by extension to other function and distribution spaces on \mathbb{R}_+ , where we have a subset $W \stackrel{\subseteq}{=} C$ on which u(z) is defined in a natural way. In particular M leads to an isomorphism

 $M : L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\Gamma_{1/2}),$

 $\begin{bmatrix} q &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } z = q \} \text{ . We are dealing with spaces of func-tions } u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ with conormal asymptotics near}$ $t = 0 \text{ and } t = \infty \text{ of the types } \varphi = (p_j, m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \text{ and } \varphi = (q_k, l_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, \text{ respectively,}$

Re
$$p_j > -\frac{1}{2}$$
, Re $p_j \longrightarrow \infty$ as $j \longrightarrow \infty$,
Re $q_k < -\frac{1}{2}$, Re $q_k \longrightarrow -\infty$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$

The space of these functions may be equipped with a natural semi-norm system under which is becomes a nuclear Fréchet

- 4 -

space. Denote it by $C_{\varphi,\eta}^{\infty}$. Let \mathcal{Y}_{φ} be the subspace of all $u \in C_{\varphi,\eta}^{\infty}$ for which $e^{+}(1-\omega)u \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R})$ the Schwartz space on \mathbb{R} , ω a cut-off function, i.e. $\omega \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$, $\omega = 1$ near t = 0, $\omega = 0$ near $t = \infty$. $\mathfrak{M}_{\gamma,\eta} := M(C_{\gamma,\eta}^{\infty})$ can easily be characterized as a space of meromorphic functions in \mathfrak{C} with poles at $z_{j} = -p_{j}$ and $z_{k} = -q_{k}$ of multiplicity $m_{j} + 1$ and $l_{k} + 1$, respectively, which in addition strongly decrease for $|\operatorname{Im} z| - \infty$.

Write for abbreviation $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}), \mathcal{F} = (w_j, n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^*}$ If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{C}$ we set $T^{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{F}} := (w_j + \mathcal{F}, n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and for a function h(z) $(T^{\mathcal{K}}h)(z) = h(z + \mathcal{F}).$

If $\frac{1}{2} = (w_j, n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an arbitrary sequence in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ with Re $w_j - \pm \infty$ as $j - \pm \infty$, we define $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{J}} = \{h : T^{\mathcal{S}}h \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{T}}\}$, where \mathcal{T} is choosen in such a way that $\operatorname{Re}(w_j + \gamma) \neq \frac{1}{2}$ for all j.

et
$$h \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$$
 and $w_{\mathfrak{Z}} \notin \Gamma_{\mathfrak{Y}2}$, $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\bullet}$ Then
op_M^o(h)u := op_M(h)u := M⁻¹(hMu)

induces a continuous operator

$$op_{M}^{O}(h) : L^{2}(R_{+}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(R_{+})$$
.

If ω is a cut- off function the operator

$$\omega \operatorname{op}_{M}^{o}(h)\omega : \mathscr{S}_{\underline{F}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}_{\underline{F}}$$

is continuous, too. Here $\mathcal{G}_{\underline{Y}} = \lim_{\underline{Y}} \mathcal{G}_{\underline{Y}}$ means the inductive limit over all singularity types \underline{Y} . It is obvious how to calculate the precise singularity type of $\omega \operatorname{op}_{M}^{O}(h)\omega u$ for given $u \in \mathcal{G}_{\underline{Y}}$. If $\mu \stackrel{2}{=} 0$ we choose a \mathcal{F} , $0 \stackrel{2}{=} \mathcal{F} \stackrel{2}{=} \min(1, \mu)$ and set

$$op_{M}^{-1}(h)u = t op_{M}^{-1}(T^{\delta}h)t^{\delta}u, \qquad (2)$$

where we admit $w_j \in \Gamma_{1/2}$ for a finite number of points w_j and χ is assumed to satisfy $w_j + \chi \notin \Gamma_{1/2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $T^{\chi}h$ is holomorphic near $\Gamma_{1/2}$. It can be proved that another choice of χ only affects the action $\omega op_M^{-/u}(h)\omega$ modulo a so-called Green operator, cf. the definition below.

Now consider sums of the form

$$\omega_{M} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{j} \operatorname{op}_{M}^{-j}(h_{j}) \omega_{j}, \qquad (3)$$

 $\omega_j(t) = \omega(c_j t)$, with a sequence of constants $c_j > 0$ and $h_j \in \mathcal{M}_j$, where we assume convergence in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I}_{\mu}, \mathcal{I}_{\mu})$ for given φ and the associated μ that is determined by the \dot{z}^j . 1. <u>Definition</u>. An operator of the form (3) is called a Mellin operator on R_j and

$$\sigma_{N}^{-j}(m) = h_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+},$$

its conormal symbol of order -j.

Let $g(t,s) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ (= $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes_H L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with \otimes_H as the Hilbert space tensor product) and

$$op_{G}(g)u(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} g(t,s)u(s)ds$$
 (4)

the associated Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

2. <u>Definition</u>. $q = op_G(g)$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{G}_{q}$ is called a Green operator, q, \mathcal{K} certain singularity types.

 $\oint_{\mathcal{M}} \bigotimes_{\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the projective tensor product and a nuclear Fréchet space, again. The notation Green operator comes from the tradition in boundary value problems, cf.[2], where in the context of operators with the transmission property

the kernels belong to $\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}) \otimes_{\pi} \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+})$. Observe that (4) with $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ induces continuous operators

$$op_G(g) : L^2(R_+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}} , \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

for arbotrary φ . We set $6 \frac{-j}{N}(\varphi) = 0$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. 3. <u>Theorem</u> (cf. [15]). The class of operators of the form $w + \varphi$, where w is a Mellin and φ a Green operator, form an algebra, with the Green operators as a two sided ideal. For the conormal symbols we have

$$\sigma_{N}^{-1}(m_{1}m_{2}) = \sum_{j+k=1}^{k} (T^{-k}\sigma_{N}^{-j}(m_{1})) \sigma_{N}^{-k}(m_{2}), \quad (5)$$

1 ∈ Z.

To (1) we also associate a sequence of conormal symbols $6_N^{-1}(a) := 6_N^{-1}(op_{\psi}(a)), 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Define $g^-(z) = (1 - e^{2\pi i z})^{-1}, g^+(z) = 1 - g^-(z).$

$$\sigma_N^{o}(a)(z) = a_0^+ g^+(z) + a_0^- g^-(z),$$
 (6)

$$6_{N}^{-1}(a)(\xi',z) = \left\{a_{1}^{+}(\xi')g^{+}(z) + a_{1}^{-}(\xi')g^{-}(z)\right\} \prod_{k=1}^{1} (k-z)^{-1}, (7)$$

$$\ell \ge 1.$$

Here

$$a_{\overline{k}}^{+}(\xi') = \frac{i^{k}}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \rho^{k}} a(\rho\xi', \pm 1) \Big|_{\rho=0}$$

are homogeneous polynomials in \mathcal{L}^{\bullet} of order k.

Set $\psi^{\circ} = (k,0)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}^{\circ}$. Further denote by $\psi + \eta$ that singularity type which appears under the multiplication of $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}$ for $u \in \mathcal{G}_{\psi}$, $v \in \mathcal{G}_{\eta}$. Then it can be proved that

$$op_{\psi}(a): \mathcal{G}_{\psi} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\psi} + \psi^{0}$$
(8)

is continuous for every singularity type γ with $T^{J} \gamma = \gamma$,

- 7 -

 $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Let

$$o_{l} = o_{l}(a) + m + q$$
 (9)

($\xi' \neq 0$ fixed), *ww* a Mellin, σ_1 a Green operator, and

$$\mathcal{G}_{N}^{-j}(ol) = \mathcal{G}_{N}^{-j}(a) + \mathcal{G}_{N}^{-j}(m), \ j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$$
 (10)

The conormal symbols (10) are meromorphic but not necessarily strongly decreasing for $|\operatorname{Im} z| \longrightarrow \infty$. Set $\mathcal{G}_{\psi}(\alpha) = a$. 4. <u>Theorem</u> (cf. [15]). The class of operators (9) form an algebra, where those of the form $\mathcal{M}_{v} + \mathfrak{G}_{v}$ and \mathfrak{G}_{v} form twosided ideals. For the symbols we have

$$\mathbf{5}_{N}^{-1}(a_{1}a_{2}) = \sum_{j+k=1}^{k} (\mathbf{T}^{-k} \mathbf{5}_{N}^{-j}(a_{1})) \mathbf{5}_{N}^{-k}(a_{2}),$$

This assertion is not a priori obvious, since at a first glance it may seem that the ψ DOs of the form $r^+F^{-1}aFe^+$ (F the Fourier transform) and the Mellin operators containing M^{-1} h M do not fit together. Fortunately we have a Mellin expansion of the pseudo-differential action, cf. Theorem 5, which actually leads to the desired algebra. 5. <u>Theorem</u> (cf. [15]). For every N $\in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there is a k = k(N), k(N) - ∞ as N - ∞ , such that for any cut-off function

$$\omega \operatorname{op}_{\psi}(a)(\xi')\omega = \omega \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^{j} \operatorname{op}_{M}(\mathfrak{s}_{N}^{-j}(a))(\xi')\omega + \operatorname{op}_{G}(g)(\xi') (11)$$

with a kernel $g(t,s,\xi') \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 0, C^{k}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}))$.

Note that both the Green kernels and the Mellin symbols are functions of $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus O$, where the Mellin symbols in

 $op_M^{-j}(h)$ are polynomials in ξ of order j. On the half axis the parameter dependence may be neglected, but it plays a role in the higher dimensional calculus, where the operators on R_{\perp} are considered as boundary symbols.

The boundary symbols are of the form

 $(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\prime}) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ open, and on principal symbolic level the left upper corners are as in Theorem 4 , whereas the other operators being finite-dimensional express the boundary and coboundary conditions. In this sense we have an extension of the concept of Boutet de Monvel, cf.[2], here for operators without the transmission property. (The case of t depending interior symbols can easily be included). In particular we have the notion of ellipticity of objects of the form (12), namely that for the principal symbols the $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ closures have to be bijective for every $(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\prime})$, which necessarily implies that $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\psi}(\alpha)(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}): \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{N_1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{N_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_N^{N}(\alpha)(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\prime}, z)|_{\mathbf{Re}\ z=\frac{1}{2}2}: \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{N_1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{N_1}$ are bijective. In that case both on principal and complete symbolic level we have the inverses in our class, the latter modulo negligible boundary symbols.

2. Mixed boundary problems and branching of exponents

The asymptotic properties of solutions of boundary problems are local in nature and we may deal with the half space case $(R_{+}^{n+1} = \{x_{n+1} > 0\}$. Let us illustrate how mixed boundary

- 9 -

problems lead to a calculus as sketched in Section 1 (cf. (i) of the Introduction). For simplicity consider ψDOs of order zero. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ T_{+} \\ T_{-} \end{pmatrix} : L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{G}_{+}) \qquad (1)$$

$$\stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus} L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{G}_{-})$$

n + 1

be a mixed boundary problem for an elliptic ψ DO A with the transmission property with respect to $\Im |\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+} = |\mathbb{R}^n \ni x = (x^*, x_n),$ $x^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \text{ and } T_{\pm} = r^{\pm}T^{\pm}, T^{\pm}$ elliptic boundary conditions in open neighbourhoods of $|\mathbb{R}^n_{\pm}, \mathbb{R}^n_{\pm} = \{x_n \ge 0\}, r^{\pm}u =$ $u|_{\mathbb{R}^n_{\pm}}, \mathbb{E} \cong \mathbb{C}^M, \mathbb{G}_{\pm} \cong \mathbb{C}^N.$ Further let $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ T_d \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+}, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^n_{+}, \mathbb{G})$

be elliptic and of the class as in [2]. Reducing \mathcal{A} to $\partial \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ by means of \mathcal{A}_0 leads to a ψDO on \mathbb{R}^n with a jump on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = 0\}$

$$D := \begin{pmatrix} r^{+}T^{+}C_{o}e^{+} & r^{+}T^{+}C_{o}e^{-} \\ & & \\ r^{-}T^{-}C_{o}e^{+} & r^{-}T^{-}C_{o}e^{-} \end{pmatrix} \qquad L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{G}_{+}) \qquad L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{G}_{+}) \\ & L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{-}, \mathbb{G}_{-}) \qquad L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{-}, \mathbb{G}_{-}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Here C_0 is the coboundary part of a parametrix $\mathcal{A}_0^{(-1)} = (P_0, C_0)$ of \mathcal{A}_0 and $e^{\pm} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_+, \mathbb{G}_{\pm}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{G})$ extends functions by zero to the opposite half space, $\mathbb{G}|_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} = \mathbb{G}_{\pm}$. The reflection $\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_-$, $\varepsilon(x^*, x_n) = (x^*, -x_n)$ induces an operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\star} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\mathbf{D}}{\overset{\mathbf{D}}{\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\star} \end{array} \right)}^{-1}} : L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{C}^{2N}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{C}^{2N}) , \qquad (2)$$

which, in general, is of the class 10° studied in [13]. A general theory of mixed boundary problems in Sobolev spaces and the principal symbolic calculus were developed in [14]. Any assertion on the solvability of (2) gives rise to a result on (1). It is easily seen that the concrete choice of T_o is not essential. So we may assume that T_o coincides with T⁻ in a neighbourhood of IR_{-}^{n} . Then

$$r^{T}C_{0}e^{+} = 0, r^{T}C_{0}e^{-} = 1$$

and it suffices to consider

х

$$r^{+}T^{+}C_{o}e^{+}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{C}^{N}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{C}^{N})$$
(3)

which is a ψDO on \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+} of the form $r^{+}Pe^{+}$ with the action of the zero order operator $P = T^{+}C_{O}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\mathbb{C}^{N})$ in the usual sense. In general P has not the transmission property with respect to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

As a (higher order) example consider the Laplacian Δ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ under jumping oblique derivatives

$$T^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{i}^{+} (x) D_{x_{i}}$$

For an action on $H^{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+})$ we set $s = s_0 + k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$ fixed, $s_0 = \frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$.

Then a reduction of orders and reduction to the boundary leads to the symbol

$$p(x,\xi) = \left(\frac{1-i\xi}{1+i\xi_n}\right)^{k+\xi} \frac{c^+(x,\xi)}{c^-(x,\xi)}, \quad (4)$$

= $(x^*,x_n), \xi = (\xi^*,\xi_n),$

$$c^{+}(x,\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^{+}_{i}(x) \xi_{i} + i b^{+}_{n+1} |\xi|$$

Let us disregard here the non-homogeneity of p which causes some extra arguments in the precise calculus for the strip $|\xi'| \stackrel{\leq}{=} 1$. For simplicity assume that for large x all coefficients are independent of x.

Let, for instance, k = 0. Then the operator

$$r^{+}Pe^{+}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+})$$
 (5)

P = Op(p), may happen to be Fredholm without boundary conditions on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . This depends on the coefficients $b_n^{\pm}(x)$, $b_{n+1}^{\pm}(x)$ hear $x_n = 0$. Since b_{n+1}^{\pm} is supposed to be $\neq 0$ (the ellipticity of T^{\pm}), we may set $b_{n+1}^{\pm} = 1$. The curve $\alpha = -\frac{1}{\beta}$ defines three connection components $\omega_0, \omega_+, \omega_-$ in the real (α, β) plane, ω_0 containing the origin and ω_{\pm} the point $(\pm 2, \pm 2)$. For

$$(b_n^+(x), b_n^-(x)) \in \omega_0 \quad \text{near } x_n = 0$$
 (6)

(and $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small) (5) is Fredholm. For $(b_n^+(x), b_n^-(x)) \in \omega_+$ we have to pose one boundary or one coboundary condition on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , according to the sign. The details are elaborated in [14]. Now assume, for instance, that (6) holds. Then we may hope to get a regularity of (5) in the sense that

$$r^{+}Pe^{+}: \mathcal{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{\mathcal{G}}_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{\mathcal{G}}_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}})$$
(7)

is Fredholm, too $(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathbb{E}))$ being the Schwartz space of E-valued functions) and that the kernel of (5) belongs to $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathcal{G})$ and the kernel of the adjoint to $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathcal{G})$ $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathcal{G})$ certain singularity types. Moreover a parametrix of (7) should be obtained by a higher dimensional version of the calculus in Section 1. This is indeed true, provided the singularity types are independent of x'. The precise theorems of this sort for rather general classes of mixed problems (arbitrary order, systems) will be published in Chapter 3 of [15].

Here we only want to discuss the qualitative phenomenon. The main difficulty in dealing with variable coefficients is that the singularity types are functions of x' and that the exponents $p_j(x')$ may have a complicated branching behaviour which is individual for any concrete problem (a situation similar to eigenvalues of parameter depending operators). This makes the spaces in (7) highly suspect, and it turns out that basically we have to replace them by more general spaces with conormal asymptotics. These spaces are briefly discussed in Section 3.

Now let us show that the branching points actually occur.

First it is known that the singularity type of a solution of (5) for a smooth right hand side contains $(x+j,j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}$, w the factorization index of (4), cf.[4]. In [14] is proved a similar behaviour for right hand sides in $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{p}}$. It is clear that w is a function of x'.

In the case of systems we have not always a good factorization, but we can state the 1. <u>Theorem</u>. Let P be elliptic and u a solution of $r^{+}Pe^{+}u = f$, $f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{J}_{of} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N})$, of some singularity type. Then

 $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{F}_{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N})$ for a singularity type γ that contains

- 13 -

both operators and the points (r_j, l_j) , where $r_j \in \mathbb{C}$ runs through the zeros of det $G_N^o(r^+Pe^+)$ and l_j+1 denotes the multiplicity of r_j .

The χ can be described more precisely, but we drop the details here, since they would require much more technical background.

Consider the following mixed problem

$$S^{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta \\ T_{+}^{1} & r^{+}R \\ 0 & T_{+}^{2} \\ T_{-}^{1} & r^{-}R \\ 0 & T_{-}^{2} \end{pmatrix} : H^{S}(R^{n+1}, C^{2}) \longrightarrow H^{S-\frac{3}{2}}(R_{+}^{n}, C^{2}) \\ H^{S-\frac{3}{2}}(R_{+}^{n}, C^{2}) \\ H^{S-\frac{3}{2}}(R_{+}^{n}, C^{2}) \\ H^{S-\frac{3}{2}}(R_{+}^{n}, C^{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Here T_{\pm}^{i} are as in the previous example for i = 1,2 and R is a smooth non-vanishing vector field on $\Im \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+}$.

Reduction of orders and reduction to the boundary leads to an elliptic operator

 $r^{+}Pe^{+}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{2}),$

cf. (3), with the property that det $\sigma_N^0(r^+Pe^+)(x^+,z) = 0$

$$\iff 6_{N}^{0}(r^{+}P_{k}e^{+})(x^{*},z) = 0, k = 1,2.$$

Here $P_k = Op(p_k)$, with

$$p_k(x,\xi) = \left(\frac{1-i\xi_n}{1+i\xi_n}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{c_k^+(x,\xi)}{c_k^-(x,\xi)}, k = 1,2,$$

associated to T_{\pm}^{k} , k = 1,2, in the sense of (4). It is obvious that det $\sigma_{N}^{o}(r^{+}Pe^{+})$ has a two-fold zero for those x', where the vector fields involved in T_{\pm}^{1} , T_{\pm}^{2} coincide. On the other hand, the zeros are simple if the factorization index of p_{1} is different from that of p_{2} which is usually the

case. Thus, applying Theorem 1, we observe a branching behaviour of the singularities. The example is typical and easy to generalize, for instance, to mixed boundary problems for the Lamé system in any dimension. Besides, even for the first example, the Laplacian with jumping oblique derivatives, we can obtain branching singularities. This requires a more careful discussion of the structure of the parametrix.

In Section 3 we give an idea how to arrange the spaces that are adapted to the general situation. Here let us formulate a result on (1) under the condition that the zeros in C of $6_N^0(r^+Pe^+)$ in Theorem 1 are independent of x'.

A theorem on general mixed problems (cf.[15], Chapter 4) says that (under a certain weak topological condition) can be extended to a Fredholm operator

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ T_{+} & R_{+} \\ T_{-} & R_{-} \\ S & Q \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+},\mathbb{E})} \xrightarrow{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+},\mathbb{G}_{+})} (8)$$

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{+},\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{-},\mathbb{G}_{-})} \xrightarrow{(8)} (1)$$

with $H = C^h$, $K = C^k$ for certain h, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. S and R_+ are extra boundary and coboundary conditions, respectively, with respect to R^{n-1} , and Q is a ψDO on R^{n-1} .

Introduce polar coordinates (t, φ) in the (x_n, x_{n+1}) plane, such that t = 0 corresponds to the origin and $0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi$ to $x_{n+1} \geq 0$. For any singularity type φ we can define the spaces $C^{\infty}([0,\pi], \mathcal{I}_{\varphi})$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, C^{\infty}([0,\pi], \mathcal{I}_{\varphi}))$ and also the spaces based on \mathcal{I}_{φ} .

- 15 -

Set

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^{\infty}([0,\pi],\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{y}}\otimes\mathbb{E})) & \bigoplus \\ \mathcal{Y}_{1} = & \bigoplus \\ \mathcal{Y}_{1} = & \bigoplus \\ \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathbb{H}) & \mathcal{Y}_{2} = \\ \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{y}}^{+}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{+}) & \bigoplus \\ \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{y}}^{-}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{-}) & \bigoplus \\ \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathbb{K}) & \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\mathbb{K}) \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}_{p}^{\pm} := \mathcal{I}_{p}\left(\mathsf{R}_{\pm}\right) & \\ \hline 2 & \underline{\text{Theorem}} & \begin{bmatrix} 15, \text{ Chapter 3} \end{bmatrix} \text{ Under the mentioned conditions} \\ \mathcal{B} \text{ induces a Fredholm operator } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{I}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2} \text{ with the same} \\ \hline \text{index as } \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \ker \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{I}_{1}, \text{ ker } \mathcal{B}^{*} \subset \mathcal{I}_{2} & \text{A parametrix} \\ \text{of } \mathcal{B} \text{ can be obtained by a higher-dimensional analogue of} \\ \hline \text{the calculus in Section 1.} \end{array}$

3. General singularity types

As noted in Section 2 for dealing with mixed boundary problems or boundary problems for operators without the transmission property in C^{∞} spaces we have to introduce a new type of asymptotics, first on the half axis near t = 0, and then in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ near \mathbb{R}^{n-1} (where the conditions have a jump) or in \mathbb{R}^{n}_+ near \mathbb{R}^{n-1}_+ .

The spaces in higher dimensions are defined in terms of spaces on \mathbb{R}_+ . In a similar way the actions of operators are obtained by actions on \mathbb{R}_+ composed with certain more standard operations in the other variables. So the main point is the calculus on the half axis in the general classes with asymptotics.

Let us sketch the idea, first of the definition of the spaces on \mathbb{R}_{+} with general asymptotics. Let \wedge be a closed

set in C being the disjoint union of compact sets Λ_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\Lambda \subset \{ \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{1}{2} \}$, $\sup \{ \operatorname{Re} z : z \in \Lambda_j \} \longrightarrow -\infty$ as $j \longrightarrow \infty$. Denote by $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}(\Lambda_j)$ the space of analytic functionals carried by Λ_j . Then we define a space \mathfrak{P}_{Λ} of functions in $\operatorname{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ () $\operatorname{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for which there is a sequence $\xi_j \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(\Lambda_j)$ with

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle \zeta_j(w), t^{-w} \rangle \text{ as } t \to 0$$
 (1)

and $e^+(1-\omega(t))u(t)$ a Schwartz function on IR for any cut-off function ω . The functional ζ_j acts with respect to w and is uniquely determined by u. This definition can be given in terms of a countable system of semi-norms under which \mathcal{Y}_{λ} becomes a nuclear Fréchet space.

In a similar way we can define a space C_{\bigwedge}^{∞} , Ξ , where $\Xi \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the disjoint union of compact sets Ξ_j in $\{\operatorname{Re} z > \frac{1}{2}\}$, $\inf \{\operatorname{Re} z : z \in \Xi_j\} \longrightarrow \infty$ as $j \longrightarrow \infty$, with analytic functionals $\rho_j \in \mathcal{A}'(\Xi_j)$, where in addition to (1)

$$u(t) \sim \sum \langle \rho_j(w), t^{-w} \rangle$$
 as $t \rightarrow \infty$

The definition of \mathcal{G}_{Λ} , C_{Λ}^{∞} , \equiv can be generalized to arbitrary closed sets $\Lambda \subset \left\{ \text{Re } z < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$, $\equiv C \left\{ \text{Re } z > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ that intersect each strip of the form $\left\{ \alpha_1 < \text{Re } z < \alpha_2 \right\}$, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, in a compact set. Clearly $\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda} \subset C_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$, $\equiv 0$ Set $Z = \Lambda \cup \Xi$ and denote by \mathfrak{M}_Z the space of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z$ being the Mellin image of some $f \in C_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$, $\equiv 0$. If $Z^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ is another set in C with the property $T^{-\delta} Z^{\overline{1}} = Z$, $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, we have not necessarily $\Gamma_{\gamma_2} \cap Z^1 = \emptyset$. Then we define $\mathfrak{M}_Z^1 = \left\{ T^{-\delta} h : h \in \mathfrak{M}_Z \right\}$.

The model of the new quality of Mellin operators are ob-

$$\begin{split} & \text{op}_{M}^{-j}(h_{j}) := \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} t^{j-\delta_{jk}} \text{op}_{M}(\tau^{\delta_{jk}}h_{jk}) t^{jk} \\ & \text{with functions } h_{jk} \in \mathfrak{M}_{Z} jk \quad \text{for which } \tau^{\delta_{jk}}h_{jk} \text{ are holomorphic} \\ & \text{near } |_{\gamma_{2}}^{\gamma_{2}} \text{ and } 0 \leqq \delta_{jk} \leqq j. \end{split}$$

$$h_{j} := \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} h_{jk}$$
(2)

is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{j} z^{jk})$. If $h_{jk} \in \mathcal{M}_{Z}jk$; is another system of functions with $h_j = \sum h_{jk}$, the associated operator, multiplied from the left and the right by a cut-off function, only changes modulo a Green operator, here defined as an integral operator with a kernel in $f_{\Lambda 1} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda 2} = \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda 2}$ for certain sets $\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2 \subset \mathfrak{U}$, \mathcal{I} the complex conjugation.

The expression 1 (3) can also be defined for h_j as in (3) and we can use all notations of Section 1. The operators of the form 1 (9) form an algebra, again, and we have an analogue of 1. Theorem 4. Any operator of the form 1 (9) with the more general Mellin and Green operators induces a continuous operator

op_{ψ}(a) + m + q : $f_{\Lambda^1} \longrightarrow f_{\Lambda^2}$ for any singularity type Λ^1 and a resulting singularity type Λ^2 that also depends on m and q_1 .

The boundary symbols mentioned in Section 1 can also be defined in the \oint_{Λ} setting. The corresponding higher dimensional calculus leads to an analogue of 2. Theorem 2, here for the spaces $\oint_{\Lambda} = \lim_{\to} \oint_{\Lambda}$ (the inductive limit

over all singularity types). The non-classical ingredients are the Mellin and Green operators. Let us mention, for instance, the complete Mellin boundary symbols on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$, involved in the calculus of operators $r^{+}Pe^{+}$, P a ψDO without the transmission property with respect to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . They have the form of asymptotic sums

$$\mathcal{M}(x',\xi') \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{\mu-j}(x',\xi'),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{u-j}(x',\xi') = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \omega \left(c_{l} t \zeta(\frac{\xi'}{c_{l}}) \right) op_{M}(h_{1,\sqrt{u-j}})(x',\xi') \omega \left(c_{l} t \zeta(\frac{\xi'}{c_{l}}) \right),$$

$$h_{1,\sqrt{u-j}} \in \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} \mathcal{M}_{Z_{1}^{jk}} \bigotimes_{\pi} s_{cl}^{l+\sqrt{u-j}} (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}).$$

Here $\xi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}), \xi > 0, \xi(t) = t$ for $t \stackrel{\geq}{=} 1$, and the $c_{1} > 0$ are constants, increasing sufficiently fast as $1 \xrightarrow{} \infty$.

Now the idea of treating the branching of singularities is to represent them as smooth families of analytic functionals. The parametrices that we obtain can be applied to functions with discrete asymptotics in the sense of the Sections 1.2, and the resulting solutions just inherit the branchings, induced by the zeros of the principal conormal symbol. Although their behaviour may be rather capricious, it can actually be described in terms of smooth functions with values in $\mathcal{A}^{+}(\mathbb{C})$. The details are given in [15], Chapter 3.

4. Notes on the spectral asymptotics

The relation between the asymptotic properties of distributions on IR, and the behaviour of the Mellin image can be interpreted for the counting function N(t) = $\{ \# \lambda_k : \lambda_k \leq t \}$ of the eigenvalues λ_k of some self-adjoint positive operator.

Denote by $\lfloor \frac{2}{(b)}$ the space of those holomorphic functions in Re z > $\frac{1}{2}$ which are the Mellin image of some $u \in L^2_{(b)}$,

 $L^{2}_{(b)} := \left\{ v \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) : \text{supp } v \text{ bounded} \right\}.$

Then for every $\delta \ge 0$, $\lambda \ge \delta$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$T^{-\delta}(\lambda + z)^{-r} \operatorname{Mu} \in \mathbb{L}^{2}_{(b)}$$

$$\iff u \in t^{\delta}(-\lambda + t\frac{d}{dt})^{r} \operatorname{L}^{2}_{(b)}.$$

Let u be an extendible distribution on \mathbb{R}_+ with bounded support and Mu(z) its Mellin transform (a definition of M on distributions was given in [6]). Then for any extendible distribution u with bounded support on \mathbb{R}_+ the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) There exist constants $\xi_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq k \leq m_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that for every $\delta \geq 0$ there is an $N = N(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and an $r = r(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j} \xi_{jk} t^{p_j} \log t^k \omega(t) \in t^{\delta} (-\lambda + t \frac{d}{dt})^r L^2_{(b)}$$
(1)

 ω any cut-off function, $\lambda \stackrel{>}{=} \delta$,

(ii) Mu(z) is a meromorphic function in C with poles at $z_j = -p_j$ of multiplicity $m_j + 1$, and for every $S \stackrel{>}{=} 0$ there are N = N(δ), r = r(δ) with

$$T^{-\delta}(\lambda + z)^{-r}(Mu(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j} \xi_{jk} f^{j,k}(z)) \in \mathbb{L}^2_{(b)},$$

$$f^{j,k}(z) = M(t^{j} \log t^{k} \omega(t))(z), \lambda \stackrel{>}{=} \S$$
.

Note that the functions $f^{j,k}$ are strongly decreasing as $|\operatorname{Im} z| \longrightarrow \infty$. In this way, roughly speaking, any extendible distribution u on \mathbb{R}_+ , supp u bounded, admits an asymptotic expansion of the form (1) as t $\longrightarrow 0$ provided the Mellin image is meromorphic and does not increase faster than some polynomial in $\operatorname{Im} z > \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ as $|\operatorname{Im} z| \longrightarrow \infty$. A similar property can be formulated with respect to the half planes $\operatorname{Im} z > \frac{1}{2} + \delta$. Meromorphic functions of that type are called of finite order.

Now our remark on the asymptotics of the counting function N(t) as t $\rightarrow \infty$ is that we can apply an analogue of (1) for t $\rightarrow \infty$ if we know that the \succeq function of the operator is of finite order.

Set

 $L_{(a)}^{2} = \left\{ u \leq L^{2}(R_{+}) : \text{dist } (0, \text{ supp } u) > 0 \right\}.$ Then $v \longrightarrow s^{-1} v(s^{-1})$ induces an bijection $\mathscr{H} : L_{(b)}^{2} \longrightarrow L_{(a)}^{2},$ and $\omega \qquad \omega$

$$\int_{c}^{\infty} t^{z-1} v(t) dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{w-1}(u v)(s) ds, w = 1-z.$$

In the Mellin image we get a correspondence between $L^2_{(a)}$ and $[\!\lfloor {2 \atop (a)} := \left\{ g(1-w) : g \in \lfloor {2 \atop (b)} \right\}$. For a distribution u on \Re_+ , dist (0,supp u)>0, extendible with respect to t = 0 under the substitution t = s⁻¹, the condition

$$\begin{split} u &= \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{j}} \xi_{jk} s^{p_{j}} \log s^{k} \chi(s) \in t^{-\delta} (\rho + s \frac{d}{ds})^{r} L_{(a)}^{2}, \\ \chi &\geq 0, \ \rho \geq \chi, \ N = N(\chi), \ r = r(\chi), \ \chi(s) = \omega(s^{-1}), \end{split}$$

is equivalent to Mu(w) meromorphic with poles at $w_j = -p_j$ of multiplicity m_j+1 and

$$T^{\delta}(-\rho+w)^{-r}(Mu(w) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{j}} \zeta_{jk} f^{p_{j},k}(w)) \in \mathbb{L}^{2}_{(a)}$$

Let A be an elliptic pseudo-differential operator on a closed compact manifold X, n = dim X, m = ord A>O, and suppose that A is self-adjoint and positive. Denote by $\zeta_A(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^z$ the ζ -function of A, $0 < \lambda_1 \stackrel{\leq}{=} \lambda_2 \stackrel{\leq}{=} \cdots$ being

the eigenvalues of A. Then

$$\zeta_{A}(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z} dN(t) = -z \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} N(t) dt, \qquad (2)$$

Re $z < -\frac{H}{m}$, with the counting function N(t).

It is well known that $\zeta_A(z)$ has a meromorphic extension with simple poles at $z_j = -\frac{n-j}{m}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, cf. Seeley [17], and the residues can be expressed in terms of the complete symbol of A. In many cases it is known that $\zeta_A(z)$ is of finite order, in other words, there exists a real function $\mu(x)$, $\mu(x_1) \stackrel{\leq}{=} \mu(x_2)$ for $x_1 \stackrel{\leq}{=} x_2$, such that for suitable c(x) > 0, a(x) > 0

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| = \int u(x_0) |\zeta_A(z)| \leq c(x_0)$$
(3)

for Re $z \stackrel{<}{=} x_0$, $|\text{Im } z| \stackrel{\geq}{=} a(x_0)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the Riemann ζ function this is a classical result, but it is very hard to get precise bounds for μ . For scalar ψ DOs in Duistermaat, Guillemin [3] it was proved that ζ_A is of finite order. In the case of systems this follows from the results of Ivrii [5], in a similar manner as in [3]. In all these cases we obtain the following

1. <u>Proposition</u>. Let $\xi_A(z)$ be of order $\mu(x_0) < \infty$ for

Re $z \stackrel{\leq}{=} x_0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the counting function N(t) of A has an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$N(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{q} \zeta_{j} t^{\frac{n-j}{m}} \chi(t) \in t^{-\delta}(\varrho + t\frac{d}{dt})^{\mu(\chi_{0})} L^{2}_{(a)}$$
(4)

$$\chi = \chi_{0} - \frac{1}{2}, \ \varrho \ge \chi, \ q = \left\{ \# j : -\frac{n-j}{m} \le \chi_{0} \right\}, \ \chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}),$$
(4)

$$\chi = 0 \text{ near } t = 0, \ \chi = 1 \text{ for } t \ge 1, \ \zeta_{j} = \operatorname{Res}_{Z} = -\frac{n-j}{m} \zeta_{A}.$$
Indeed, (2) and (3) imply

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \right|^{-\mu(\chi_{0})+1} \left| M(N)(z) \right| \le c(\chi_{0}),$$
(Im $z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re \ z \le \chi_{0}, Ze^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$ (M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re \ z \le \chi_{0}, Ze^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re \ z \le \chi_{0}, Ze^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_{0}),$$
(M(N)(z)

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} z \mid z \mid z_{0}, Re^{-\mu(\chi_{0})} \right| = c(\chi_$$

for the coefficients follows from $M(t^p) = (z+p)^{-1}$.

The formula (4) can be interpreted as a substitute of the expansion of N(t) which is usually obtained by a Tauber argument. The poles of the ξ function do not lead to all terms in the classical precise form, but we always have the asymptotics in the mean, provided the ξ function is of finite order. So it is a task to find precise bounds for $\mu(x)$.

References

[1] M.S. Agranovič, M.I. Višik

Elliptic problems with parameter and parabolic problems of general type. Uspehi Mat. Nauk <u>19</u>, 3 (1964) 53-161 (Russ. Math. Surveys <u>19</u>, 3 (1964) 53-159)

[2] L. Boutet de Monvel Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators. Acta math. <u>126</u> (1971), 11-51 - 24 -

J.J. Duistermaat, V. Guillemin

3

The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. Invent. math. 29 (1975) 39-79 [4] G.I. Eskin Boundary problems for elliptic pseudo-differential equations. Nauka Moskva 1973 (Transl. of Math. Monographs 52, Amer. Math. Soc.) [5] V.Ja. Ivriľ On the precise spectral asymptotics for elliptic operators acting in bundles (Russ.) Funkc. Anal. i. Priloš. 16, 2 (1982) 30-38 [6] P. Jeanquartier Transfromation de Mellin et développements asymptotiques. L'Enseignement mathématique 25 (1979) 285-308 [7] V.A. Kondrat'ev Boundary problems for elliptic equations in domains with conical or corner points (Russ.). Trudy Mosk. Mat. Obšč. 16 (1967), 209-293 8 V.A. Kondrat'ev, O.A. Oleynik Boundary problems for partial differential equations in non-smooth domains. Uspehi Mat. Nauk 38, 2 (1983) 3-76 [9] S. Lee, 'R. Melrose Boundary behaviour of the complex Monge-Ampere equation. Acta Math. 148 (1982) 159-192 10 R. Melrose Transformation of boundary problems. Acta Math. 147 (1981) 149-236 [11] R. Melrose, G.A. Mendoza Elliptic boundary problems in spaces with conical points. Journees 'Equ. deriv. part.' St.Jean de Monts 1981, Conf. No. 4 12 S. Rempel, B.W. Schulze Index Theory of Elliptic Boundary Problems. Akademie-Verlag Berlin 1982

[13] S. Rempel, B.W. Schulze

Parametrices and boundary symbolic calculus for elliptic boundary problems without the transmission property. Math. Nachr. <u>105</u> (1982) 45-149

- [14] S. Rempel, B.W. Schulze A theory of pseudo-differential boundary value problems with discontinuous conditions, I, II. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry (to appear), Preprints IMath 17, 23, 24, 25 Berlin 1983
- [15] S. Rempel, B.W. Schulze Pseudo-differential and Mellin operators in spaces with conormal singularity (boundary symbols) Chapter 1. Report IMath 01, Berlin 1984 (Chapters 2, 3 to appear)
- [16] S. Rempel, B.W. Schulze A complete Mellin symbolic calculus near conical points. (to appear)

[17] S. Seeley

Complex powers of an elliptic pseudo-differential operator. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. <u>10</u> (1967) 288-307