

MOHAMED S. BAOUENDI

**Extendability of C. R. functions : a microlocal version
of Bochner's tube theorem**

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1981), p. 1-5

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1981____A1_0

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (<http://www.math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

EXTENDABILITY OF C. R. FUNCTIONS :
A MICROLOCAL VERSION OF BOCHNER'S TUBE THEOREM

by M. S. BAOUENDI

We present some recent results obtained jointly with F. Treves. Details and complete proofs can be found in [1].

Let m and n be two positive integers, we shall denote by $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ the variable in \mathbb{R}^m and by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the variable in \mathbb{R}^n . Let U be an open connected set in \mathbb{R}^m and $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ a Lipschitz continuous mapping $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We consider the associated complex vector fields in $U \times \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(1) \quad L_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} - i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_k(t)}{\partial t_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

We have

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} L_j z_k = 0 & 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \\ z_k(t, x) = x_k + i \phi_k(t). \end{cases}$$

We denote by $z = z(t, x)$ the mapping $U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$.

Definition 1 : Assume ϕ to be real analytic and let $t^0 \in U$ and $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The system $\mathbb{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ defined by (1) is said to be analytic hypoelliptic at (t^0, x^0) if and only if any distribution u in some open neighborhood ω of (t^0, x^0) , such that $L_j u$ is analytic for $j = 1, \dots, m$, is itself analytic in a possibly smaller open neighborhood ω' of (t^0, x^0) .

Before giving a necessary and sufficient condition for the system \mathbb{L} to be analytic hypoelliptic at (t^0, x^0) we state some simple reductions and remarks.

Remarks :

1. In order to prove the analytic hypoellipticity of \mathbb{L} it suffices to prove the analyticity of the solutions of the homogeneous equations

$$(3) \quad L_j h = 0 \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Indeed if $L_j u = f_j$ is analytic, we can solve $L_j v = f_j$ with an analytic solution v .

Since $L_j(u-v) = 0$ it suffices to show the analyticity of $u - v$.

2. We can restrict ourselves to the study of the C^1 solutions of (3). Indeed it can be easily proved [2] that any distribution solution of (3) near (t^0, x^0) is of the form

$$h = \Delta_x^q h'$$

where h' is of class C^1 and also solution of (3).

3. In order to prove the analytic hypoellipticity of \mathbb{L} at (t^0, x^0) it suffices to show that if h is a C^1 solution of (3) near (t^0, x^0) then the function

$$(4) \quad h_0(x) = h(t^0, x)$$

is analytic at x^0 . This can be easily seen using Remarks 1, 2 and the fact that the local Cauchy problem $L_j v = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, with Cauchy datum at $t = t_0$, has a solution in the class of analytic functions and uniqueness holds in the class C^1 functions.

C.R. Functions

Let V be an open set of \mathbb{R}^n . We denote

$$\Omega = U \times V.$$

We consider the "tuboid" of \mathbb{C}^n

$$z(\Omega) = V + i\phi(U).$$

Définition 2 : A function u defined on the set $z(\Omega)$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous if its pull-back via z , $\tilde{u} = u \circ z$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\Omega = U \times V$. Moreover u is said to be a C.R. function if \tilde{u} satisfies (3) in $U \times V$.

Observe that the push via z of L_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$ is given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (L_j z_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + (L_j \bar{z}_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = -2i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial t_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}.$$

Therefore if $\phi(U)$ is an immersed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , a function u is a C.R. function according to Definition 2 if and only if it satisfies the usual induced Cauchy-Riemann equations on $z(\Omega)$.

If f is a holomorphic function in an open neighborhood of $z(\Omega)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , clearly its restriction to $z(\Omega)$ is a C.R. function. We are interested here in the following local extendability question : Let $(t^0, x^0) \in \Omega$ and u a C.R. function on $z(\Omega)$ when does u extend holomorphically to a neighborhood of $z(t^0, x^0)$?

We have the following :

Proposition 1 : Let u be a C.R. function defined on $z(\Omega)$ and $(t^0, x^0) \in \Omega$. The function u extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of $z(t^0, x^0)$ if and only if the function

$$x \mapsto \tilde{u}(t^0, x) = u(z(t^0, x))$$

is analytic at x^0 .

When ϕ is analytic the analytic hypoellipticity of the system \mathbb{L} defined by (1) and the local holomorphic extendability are therefore equivalent (Prop. 1 and Remark 3).

Theorem 1 : Assume ϕ to be analytic. The following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) The system $\mathbb{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ defined by (1) is analytic hypoelliptic at (t^0, x^0) .
- (ii) Any C.R. function defined on a neighborhood of $z(t^0, x^0)$ in $z(\Omega)$ extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood of $z(t^0, x^0)$ in \mathbb{C}^n .
- (iii) For every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$, t^0 is not a local extremum of the function $t \mapsto \phi(t) \cdot \xi$.

Theorem 1 follows essentially from the following microlocal result.

Theorem 2 : Assume ϕ to be analytic and let $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$. The following conditions are equivalent :

- (a) For every distribution h defined in some neighborhood of (t^0, x^0) and satisfying (3) (x^0, ξ^0) is not in the analytic wave-front set of h_0 (defined by (4)).
- (b) t^0 is not a local minimum of the function $t \mapsto \phi(t) \cdot \xi^0$.

We can assume that (t^0, x^0) is the origin of $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and that $\phi(0) = 0$. In order to prove that (a) implies (b) it suffices to observe that if $\phi(t) \cdot \xi^0 \geq 0$ for all $t \in U$, the function

$$h(t, x) = (x \cdot \xi^0 + i \phi(t) \cdot \xi^0)^{3/2},$$

with the principal determination of $\zeta^{3/2}$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ $\text{Im } \zeta \geq 0$, satisfies (3) and

$(0, \xi^0)$ is in the analytic wave-front set of $h_0(x) = (x \cdot \xi^0)^{3/2}$.

The proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a) is an easy corollary of the following more general result :

Theorem 3 : Assume ϕ to be Lipschitz continuous in U ($0 \in U$) and let V be the open ball of \mathbb{R}^n centered at the origin of radius $r > 0$. Let $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$ and assume there are $t^* \in U \setminus 0$ and a Lipschitz curve γ in U with 0 and t^* as its end-points satisfying :

$$(5) \quad -\phi(t^*) \cdot \xi^0 > 0,$$

$$(6) \quad \sup_{t \in \gamma} |\phi(t)| < r,$$

$$(7) \quad |\phi(t^*)|^2 \sup_{t \in \gamma} \phi(t) \cdot \xi^0 < [r^2 - \sup_{t \in \gamma} |\phi(t)|^2] [-\phi(t^*) \cdot \xi^0].$$

Then if h is any Lipschitz continuous solution of (3) in $\Omega = U \times V$, $(0, \xi^0)$ is not in the analytic wave-front set of $h_0(x) = h(0, x)$.

Idea of the proof of Theorem 3

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ be determined later. Let $g \in C_0^\infty(V)$, $g(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)r$. Consider the integral

$$(8) \quad I(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\gamma} e^{i(x-y-i\phi(t)) \cdot \xi - K(x-y-i\phi(t))^2 |\xi|} L[g(y)h(t, y)] dt dy .$$

We have used the notation $z^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2$, and

$$L f(t, y) dt = \sum_{j=1}^m L_j f(t, y) dt_j$$

which is a one form on U depending on y .

Integrating (8) by parts with respect to t and y and using (2) we obtain

$$(9) \quad I(x, \xi) = I_*(x, \xi) - I_0(x, \xi)$$

with

$$I_*(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y-i\phi(t^*)) \cdot \xi - K(x-y-i\phi(t^*))^2 |\xi|} g(y) h(t^*, y) dy$$

$$I_0(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi - K(x-y)^2 |\xi|} g(y) h_0(y) dy .$$

In order to show that $(0, \xi^0)$ is not in the analytic wave front set of h_0 , it suffices to show that the estimate

$$(10) \quad |I_0(x, \xi)| \leq c e^{-|\xi|/C}$$

with $C > 0$, holds for (x, ξ) in a conic neighborhood of $(0, \xi^0)$ (see Sjöstrand [3]). Assumptions (5), (6), (7) and (3) allow us to find $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ so that estimates of the form (10) hold for $I(x, \xi)$ and $I_*(x, \xi)$; thus the desired estimate (10) follows from (9).

Other remarks

4. The microlocal results of this paper can yield holomorphic extendability of C.R. functions not only to full neighborhood of a point in $z(\Omega)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , but also to open sets of \mathbb{C}^n whose boundary contains part of $z(\Omega)$.

5. It should be mentioned that other extendability results generalizing Bochner's tube theorem appeared in the literature : H. Lewy, Hörmander, Komatsu, Hill, Kazlow (see [1] for references).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Baouendi, F. Trèves : A microlocal version of Bochner's tube theorem. To appear, Indiana Math. Journal.
- [2] M. S. Baouendi, F. Trèves : A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of complex vector fields. Annals of Math. 113 (1981), 387-421.
- [3] J. Sjöstrand : Propagation of analytic singularities for second order Dirichlet problems, Comm. in P. D. E.'s, 5 (1980), 41-94.

*
* *
*