# Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie

# ALINA VDOVINA Polyhedra with specified links

*Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie*, tome 21 (2002-2003), p. 37-42 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=TSG\_2002-2003\_21\_37\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=TSG\_2002-2003\_21\_37\_0</a>

© Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie (Grenoble), 2002-2003, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie GRENOBLE Volume **21** (2003) 37–42

# POLYHEDRA WITH SPECIFIED LINKS

# Alina VDOVINA

#### Abstract

We construct compact polyhedra with *m*-gonal faces whose links are generalized 3-gons. It gives examples of cocompact hyperbolic bildings of type P(m, 3). For m = 3 we get compact spaces covered by Euclidean buildings of type  $\tilde{A}_2$ .

# 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Preliminaries

Given a graph G we assign to each edge the length 1. The diameter of the graph is its diameter as a length metric space, its injectivity radius is half of the length of the smallest circuit.

Due to [2], [7] or [9] the following definition is equivalent to the usual one

DEFINITION 1.1. — For a natural number m we call a connected graph G a generalized m-gon, if its diameter and injectivity radius are both equal to m.

A graph is *bipartite* if its set of vertices can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets P and L such that no two vertices in the same subset lie on a common edge. Such a graph can be interpreted as a planar geometry, i.e. a set of points P and a set of lines L and an incidence relation  $R \subset P \times L$ . On the other hand each planar geometry can be considered as a bipartite graph.

Under this correspondence projective planes are the same as generalized 3-gones ([9]).

Let G be a planar geometry. For a line  $y \in L$  we denote by I(y) the set of all points  $x \in P$  incident to y. If no confusion can arise we shall write  $x \in y$  instead of  $x \in I(y)$  and  $y_1 \cap y_2$  instead of  $I(y_1) \cap I(y_2)$ . A subset S of P is called collinear if it is contained in some set I(y), i.e. if all points of S are incident to a line.

Given a planar geometry G we shall denote by G' its dual geometry arising by calling lines resp. points of G points resp. lines of G'. The graphs corresponding to G and G' are isomorphic.

We will call a *polyhedron* a two-dimensional complex which is obtained from several oriented *p*-gons by identification of corresponding sides. Consider a point of the

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F65, 51E24.

#### A. VDOVINA

polyhedron and take a sphere of a small radius at this point. The intersection of the sphere with the polyhedron is a graph, which is called the *link* at this point.

DEFINITION 1.2. — Let  $\mathscr{P}(p, m)$  be a tessellation of the hyperbolic plane by regular polygons with p sides, with angles  $\pi / m$  in each vertex where m is an integer. A hyperbolic building of type  $\mathscr{P}(p, m)$  is a polygonal complex X, which can be expressed as the union of subcomplexes called apartments such that:

1. Every apartment is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(p, m)$ .

2. For any two polygons of X, there is an apartment containing both of them.

3. For any two apartments  $A_1, A_2 \in X$  containing the same polygon, there exists an isomorphism  $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  fixing  $A_1 \cap A_2$ .

If we replace in the above definition the tessalition  $\mathscr{P}(p, m)$  of the hyperbolic plane by the tessalation  $\tilde{A}_2$  of the Euclidean plane by regular triangles we get the definition of the Euclidean building of type  $A_2$ .

Let  $C_p$  be a polyhedron whose faces are *p*-gons and whose links are generalized *m*-gons with mp > 2m + p. We equip every face of  $C_p$  with the hyperbolic metric such that all sides of the polygons are geodesics and all angles are  $\pi/m$ . Then the universal covering of such a polyhedron is a hyperbolic building, see [6].

In the case p = 3, m = 3, i.e.  $C_p$  is a simplicial polyhedron, we can give a Euclidean metric to every face. In this metric all sides of the triangles are geodesics of the same length. The universal coverings of these polyhedra are Euclidean buildings, see [2], [3], [7].

So, to construct hyperbolic and Euclidean buildings with compact quotients, it is sufficient to construct finite polyhedra with appropriate links.

The main result of the paper is a construction of a family of compact polyhedra with *m*-gonal faces (for any  $m \ge 3$ ) whose links are generalized 3-gons. Fundamental groups of our polyhedra with  $m \ge 6$  are residually finite by results of [11].

One of the main tools is a bijection T of a special type between points and lines of a finite projective plane G. If such a bijection exists, we can construct a family of compact polyhedra with m-gonal faces, with any  $m \ge 3$  whose links are generalized 3-gons. The existence of T in known for the projective planes over finite fields of characteristique  $\neq 3$  (chapter 3). But for the projective plane of order 3 such a bijection exists as well.

So, if one can prove the existence of T for a finite projective plane G (even nondesarguesian), then chapters 2.2 and 2.3 immediately give the existence of buildings with G as the link.

We note, that some hyperbolic buildings with links, which are finite projective planes were constructed also in [8].

## 1.2. Polygonal presentation.

We recall the definition of the polygonal presentation, given in [10]. **Definition.** Suppose we have *n* disjoint connected bipartite graphs  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ . Let  $P_i$  and  $L_i$  be the sets of black and white vertices respectively in  $G_i$ , i = 1, ..., n; let  $P = \bigcup P_i, L = \bigcup L_i, P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset \ L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and let  $\lambda$  be a bijection  $\lambda : P \to L$ .

A set  $\mathcal{H}$  of k-tuples  $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k), x_i \in P$ , will be called a *polygonal presentation* over P compatible with  $\lambda$  if

#### Polyhedra with specified links

- (1)  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_k) \in \mathcal{H}$  implies that  $(x_2, x_3, ..., x_k, x_1) \in \mathcal{H}$ ;
- (2) given x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub> ∈ P, then (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>,..., x<sub>k</sub>) ∈ ℋ for some x<sub>3</sub>,..., x<sub>k</sub> if and only if x<sub>2</sub> and λ(x<sub>1</sub>) are incident in some G<sub>i</sub>;
- (3) given  $x_1, x_2 \in P$ , then  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{H}$  for at most one  $x_3 \in P$ .

If there exists such  $\mathcal{K}$ , we will call  $\lambda$  a *basic bijection*.

Polygonal presentations for n = 1, k = 3 were listed in [5] with the incidence graph of the finite projective plane of order two or three as the graph  $G_1$ . Some polygonal presentations for n > 1 can be found in [10].

#### 1.3. Construction of polyhedra.

One can associate a polyhedron X on n vertices with each polygonal presentation  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows: for every cyclic k-tuple  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_k)$  from the definition we take an oriented k-gon on the boundary of which the word  $x_1 x_2 x_3 \ldots x_k$  is written. To obtain the polyhedron we identify the sides with the same label of our polygons, respecting orientation. We will say that the polyhedron X corresponds to the polygonal presentation  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The following lemma was proved in [10]:

LEMMA 1.3. — A polyhedron X which corresponds to a polygonal presentation  $\mathcal{K}$  has graphs  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$  as the links.

**Remark.** Consider a polygonal presentation  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $s_i$  be the number of vertices of the graph  $G_i$  and  $t_i$  be the number of edges of  $G_i$ , i = 1, ..., n. If the polyhedron X corresponds to the polygonal presentation  $\mathcal{H}$ , then X has n vertices (the number of vertices of X is equal to the number of graphs),  $k \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$  edges and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i$  faces, all faces are polygons with k sides.

# 2. Main Construction.

#### 2.1. Crucial lemma

Let G be a finite projective plane and let P resp. L denote the set of its points resp. lines.

- Assume that a bijection  $T: P \rightarrow L$  is given and satisfies the following properties
- 1. For each  $x \in P$  the point x and the line T(x) are not incident.
- 2. For each pair  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  of different points in P the points  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $T(x_1) \cap T(x_2)$  are not collinear.

LEMMA 2.1. — Let  $T : P \to L$  be as above,  $y \in L$  a line. Then the map  $T^* : I(y) \to I(y)$  given by  $T^*(x) = T(x) \cap I(y)$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* — By the first property of T the map  $T^*$  is well defined, by the second property it must be injective. Since I(y) is finite, the statement follows.

Let  $G, P, L, T : P \to L$  be as above. Let  $P = \{x_1, .., x_p\}$  be a labelling of points in Pand set  $y_i = T(x_i)$ . Consider the following set  $O \subset P \times P \times P$ , consisting of all triples  $(x_i, x_j, x_k)$  satisfying  $x_i \in y_k, x_j \in y_i$  and and  $x_j \in y_k$ .

#### A. VDOVINA

*Remark.* — The conditions on  $(x_i, x_j, x_k) \in K$  are not cyclic. We require  $x_j \in y_k$  and not  $x_k \in y_j$  !! For this reason in the polygonal presentations defined below dual graphs of G appear.

The following lemma is crucial for the later construction:

LEMMA 2.2. — A pair  $(x_i, x_k)$  resp.  $(x_i, x_j)$  resp.  $(x_j, x_k)$  is a part of at most one triple  $(x_i, x_j, x_k) \in K$  and such a triple exists iff  $x_i \in y_k$  resp.  $x_j \in y_i$  resp.  $x_j \in y_k$  holds.

*Proof.* — The conditions stated at the end are certainly necessairy.

1) Let  $x_i \in y_k$  be given. Then  $y_i$  and  $y_k$  are different and the point  $x_j = y_i \cap y_k$  is uniquely defined.

2) Let  $x_j \in y_i$  be given. Then  $x_j$  and  $x_i$  are different, so there is exactly one line  $y_k$  containing  $x_j$  and  $x_l$ .

3) Let  $x_j \in y_k$  be given. Then  $(x_i, x_j, x_k)$  is in K iff for the map  $T^* : I(y_k) \rightarrow I(y_k)$  of Lemma 2.1 the equality  $T^*(x_i) = x_j$  holds. By Lemma 2.1 the point  $x_i$  is uniquely defined.

#### 2.2. Euclidean polyhedra

Now we are ready for the polygonal presentations. Let the notations be as above,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  two projective planes with isomorphisms  $J^t : G \to G_t$  and  $G_3$  a projective plane with an isomorphism  $J^3 : G' \to G_3$  of the dual projective plane G' of G. For t = 1, 2 we set  $x_i^t = J^t(x_i), y_i^t = J^t(y_i)$  and for t = 3 we set  $x_i^3 = J^3(y_i)$  and  $y_i^3 = J^3(x_i)$ .

Let  $P_t$  resp.  $L_t$  be the set of lines of  $G_t$ . For  $P = \bigcup P_t$  and  $L = \bigcup L_t$  we consider the bijection  $\lambda : P \to L$  given by  $\lambda(x_i^t) = y_i^{t+1}$  (t + 1 is taken modulo 3).

Now consider the subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $P \times P \times P$  consisting of all triples  $(x_i^1, x_j^2, x_k^3)$  with  $(x_i, x_j, x_k) \in K$  and all cyclic permutation of such triples.

The stament of Lemma 2.2 can be now reformulated as:

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** — The subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $P \times P \times P$  defines a polygonal presentation compatible with  $\lambda$ .

The polyhedron X which corresponds to  $\mathcal{T}$  by the construction of Lemma 1.3 has triangular faces and exactly three vertices with two links naturally isomorphic to G and one link naturally isomorphic to the dual G' of G. By [2] or [7] the universal covering of X is a Euclidean building.

#### 2.3. Hyperbolic polyhedra

We continue to use the same notation. We have a projective plane G, with points  $P = \{x_1, ..., x_p\}$  and lines  $L = \{y_1, ..., y_p\}$  and a subset  $K \subset P \times P \times P$ .

Let  $w = z_1 \dots z_n$  be a word of length *n* in three letters *a*, *b*, *c* with  $z_1 = a, z_2 = b, z_3 = c$  that does not contain proper powers of the letters *a*, *b*, *c*. (I.e.  $z_z \neq z_{t+1}$  and  $z_n \neq a$ ). For example w = abc bc ab is a possible choice.

Set Sign(ab) = Sign(ba) = Sign(ac) = 1 and Sign(cb) = Sign(ca) = Sign(ba) = -1. For t = 1, ..., n let  $G_t$  be isomorphic to G resp. to G' if Sign $(z_t z_{t+1}) = 1$  resp. Sign $(z_t z_{t+1}) = -1$ .

40

Fixed isomorphisms induce as above a natural labelling of the points and lines of  $G_t: P_t = (x_1^t, ..., x_q^t) \text{ and } L_t = (y_1^t, ..., y_q^t).$ 

For  $P = \bigcup P_t$  and  $L = \bigcup L_t$  we define a basic bijection  $\lambda : P \to L$  by  $\lambda(x_t^t) = y_t^{t+1}$ . For each triple  $(x_i, x_j, x_l) \in K$  we consider the unique *n*-tuple in  $P^n$  such that at the t-th place stands  $x_i^t$  resp.  $x_i^t$  resp.  $x_k^t$  if  $z_t$  is equal to a resp. b resp. c. Consider the subset  $T_n \in P^n$  of all such tuples together with all their cyclic permutations.

>From Lemma 2.2 we immediatly see:

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** — The subset  $T_n \in P^n$  is a polygonal presentation over  $\lambda$ . By Lemma 1.3 it defines a polyhedron X whose faces are n-gones and whose n-vertices have as links G resp. G'.

## 3. An algebraic construction

Let  $F = F_q$  be a finite field of charakteristik  $p \neq 3$  with q elements. Consider the field  $K = F_{q^3}$  as an extension of F of degree 3. In the sequel we shall denote by g elements of K and by a, b, c elements of F and call them scalars. We denote by  $Gr_1$ resp.  $Gr_2$  the set of 1- resp. 2-dimensional F vector spaces of K.

The multiplicative group  $K^*$  operates on the sets  $Gr_1$  and  $Gr_2$  by multiplication. The kernel of this operation is precisely  $F^*$  and  $K^*/F^*$  operates on both sets simply transitively. Especially we can write each element of  $Gr_1$  as gF for some  $g \in K^*$ .

Let Tr be the trace map  $Tr: K \rightarrow F$  of the extension  $F \subset K$ .

Denote by  $E \in Gr_2$  the 2-dimensional kernel of  $Tr : K \rightarrow F$ . We define a map  $T: Gr_1 \rightarrow Gr_2$  by T(gF) = gE. The map T is well defined bijective and  $K^*$ invariant.

PROPOSITION 3.1 (A.Lytchak, private communication). — For the map  $T: Gr_1 \rightarrow$  $Gr_2$  and arbitrary  $l \neq l_1 \in Gr_1$  holds:

- 1. The image T(l) does not contain l.
- 2. The l, l<sub>1</sub> and  $T(l) \cap T(l_1)$  generate the vector space K.

*Proof.* — Since T is  $K^*$  invariant, we may assume l = F. Since Tr(1) = 1, F does not lie in T(F) = E. Now assume that  $l_1 = gF$ . If the statment is wrong, some non zero element of the form bg - a must be in  $T(F) \cap T(gF) = E \cap gE$ . Since 1 is not in E and G is not in gF, we may assume (replacing g by a scalar multiple) that this non zero element is g - 1. So  $g - 1 \in E$  and  $g - 1 \in gE$ .

The first inclusion is equivalent to Tr(g) = 1 and the second one to  $Tr(\frac{1}{g}) = 1$ . Let's prove, that if for an element  $g \in K^*$  the equalities  $Tr(g) = Tr(\frac{1}{g}) = 1$  hold, then g is equal to 1. Assume  $g \neq 1$ . Then g is not in F. Let  $m(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ be the minimal polynom of g. Then  $c \neq 0$  and  $\bar{m}(x) = x^3 + \frac{b}{c}x^2 + \frac{a}{c}x + \frac{1}{c}$  is the minimal polynom of  $\frac{1}{g}$ . The condition  $Tr(g) = Tr(\frac{1}{g}) = 1$  means  $a = \frac{b}{c} = -1$ . I.e.  $m(x) = x^3 - x^2 + bx - b = (x^2 + 1)(x - b)$  is reducible. Contradiction. So, g = 1. 

Now we get a contradiction to  $l \neq l_1$ .

COROLLARY 3.2. — For the projective plane  $\mathscr{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of charakteristique  $\neq$  3 there is a bijection T between the set P of points and the set L of lines,  $T: P \rightarrow L$ , that satisfies the following properties

#### A. VDOVINA

- 1. For each  $x \in P$  the point x and the line T(x) are not incident.
- 2. For each pair  $x_1, x_2$  of different points in P the points  $x_1, x_2$  and  $T(x_1) \cap T(x_2)$  are not collinear.

# References

- [1] W. BALLMANN, M. BRIN, *Polygonal complexes and combinatorial group theory*, Geometriae Dedicata 50 (1994), 165–191.
- [2] W. BALLMANN, M. BRIN, Orbihedra of nonpositive curvature, Publications Mathématiques IHES, 82 (1995), 169–209.
- [3] S. BARRE, Polyèdres finis de dimension 2 à courbure ≤ 0 et de rang 2, Ann. Inst. Fourier 45-4 (1995),1037–1059.
- [4] P. DEMBOWSKI, Finite geometries, Springer-Verlag 1968.
- [5] D. CARTWRIGHT, A. MANTERO, T. STEGER, A. ZAPPA, Groups acting simply transitively on vertices of a building of type  $\tilde{A}_2$ , Geometriae Dedicata 47 (1993), 143–166.
- [6] D. GABORIAU, F. PAULIN, Sur les immeubles hyperboliques, Geometriae Dedicata 88, n° 1–3 (2001), 153–197.
- [7] R. CHARNEY, A. LYTCHAK, Metric characterization of spherical and Euclidean buildings Geom. Topol. 5 (2001), 521-550 (electronic).
- [8] F. HAGLUND, Existence, unicité et homogénéité de certains immeubles hyperboliques, Math. Z. 242 1 (2002), 97–148.
- [9] M. RONAN, Lectures on buildings, Perspectives in mathematics, vol.7 (1989).
- [10] A. VDOVINA, Combinatorial structure of some hyperbolic buildings, Math. Z. 241-3 (2002), 471–478.
- [11] D. WISE, Residual finiteness of negatively curved polygons of finite groups., Invent. Math. 149-3 (2002), 579-617.

Alina VDOVINA Mathematisches Institut Binghamton University Beringstrasse 1, 53115 BONN alina@math.uni-bonn.de