## Institut Fourier - Université de Grenoble I

## Actes du séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie

Françoise Dal'Bo \& Inkang KIM
Shadow lemma on the product of Hadamard manifolds and applications
Volume 25 (2006-2007), p. 105-119.
[http://tsg.cedram.org/item?id=TSG_2006-2007__25__105_0](http://tsg.cedram.org/item?id=TSG_2006-2007__25__105_0)
© Institut Fourier, 2006-2007, tous droits réservés.
L'accès aux articles du Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie (http://tsg.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://tsg.cedram.org/legal/).

## cedram

# SHADOW LEMMA ON THE PRODUCT OF HADAMARD MANIFOLDS AND APPLICATIONS 

Françoise Dal'Bo \& Inkang Kim


#### Abstract

In this paper we analyze the limit set of nonelementary subgroups acting by isometries on the product of two pinched Hadamard manifolds. Following M. Burger's and P. Albuquerque's works, we study the properties of Patterson-Sullivan's measures on the limit sets of graph groups associated to convex cocompact groups.


## Introduction

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be two pinched Hadamard manifolds. In this paper we are interested in the Riemannian product $Y=X_{1} \times X_{2}$. The geometric boundary of $Y$, denoted $\partial Y$, is identified whith $\left.\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\right] 0, \infty\left[\cup \partial X_{1} \cup \partial X_{2}\right.$. Consider a subgroup $\Gamma \subset I(Y)$, denote $L(\Gamma)$ its limit set, $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)=L(\Gamma) \cap$ $\left.\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ and $F(\Gamma)$ the projection of $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)$ into $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2}$.

Following the path of Y. Benoist, M. Burger and Y. Guivarc'h ([2], [4], [11]), one proves, in the section 2, the following results. (see also [17]) :

Proposition 2.3. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup (not necessary discrete) of $I(Y)$ such that the projections of $\Gamma$ into $I\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $I\left(X_{2}\right)$ are strongly nonelementary. If $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma) \neq \phi$ then $F(\Gamma)$ is a minimal closed non empty $\Gamma$ - invariant subset of $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2}$ and $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)=F(\Gamma) \times P(\Gamma)$ where $P(\Gamma) \subset$ $] 0, \infty[$.

Proposition 2.4. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right) \times I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that the projections of $\Gamma$ into $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ are strongly nonelementary

[^0]and do not contain elliptic isometries then $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma) \neq \phi$,
and $P(\Gamma)$ is an interval.
Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ be two isomorphic subgroups of $I\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $I\left(X_{2}\right)$. Consider an isomorphism $\rho$ between $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, and denote $\Gamma_{\rho}$ the graph group $\subset I(Y)$ defined by $\Gamma_{\rho}=\left\{\left(\gamma_{1}, \rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right) / \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}\right\}$.

Proposition 2.8. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right) \times I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ acting freely on $Y$. If $L(\Gamma)=L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma$ is a graph group $\Gamma_{\rho}$ and $\rho$ preserves the type of isometries.

In the section 3, one considers only infinite discrete subgroups of $I(Y)$. One says that a measure $\mu$ on $\partial Y$ is a $(\beta, p)$-conformal measure, for $\beta \geqslant 0$ and $p \in[0, \infty]$, if the support of $\mu$ is included in $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\{p\}$ if $p \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$, in $\partial X_{1}$ if $p=\infty$ and in $\partial X_{2}$ if $p=0$ and if, for any Borel subset $B \subset \partial Y$, one has:

$$
\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad \mu(\gamma(B))=\int_{B}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{\beta} d \mu(\xi)
$$

(see section 3 for definition of $\left|\gamma^{\prime}(\xi)\right|$ ).
Adapting the works of M. Burger [4] and P. Albuquerque [1], one proves the following results.

Theorem 3.2 (Shadow Lemma). - Let $\Gamma$ be an infinite discrete subgroup of $I(Y)$. Suppose that $L(\Gamma)$ is not included in a small cell defined by $\left\{\xi_{1}\right\} \times \partial X_{2} \times[0, \infty] \cup \partial X_{1} \times\left\{\xi_{2}\right\} \times[0, \infty]$. If $\mu$ is a $(\beta, p)$ - conformal measure then there exists $d_{0}>0$ such that for every $r \geqslant d_{0}$, there exists $c(r) \geqslant 1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{c(r)} e^{-\beta d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.} \\
& \quad \leqslant \mu(S(0, B(\gamma(0), r))) \leqslant c(r) e^{-\beta d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.} \text { for every } \gamma \in \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

(see section 3 for the definitions).
Using this theorem, one generalizes a result due to M. Burger [4], P. Albuquerque [1] and J-F Quint [19] in the case where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are symmetric spaces of Rank 1.

Corollary 3.4 and 3.5. - Let $\Gamma_{\rho}$ be a graph group associated to isomorphic nonelementary convex cocompact subgroups $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right)$
and $I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$, without elliptic elements. If $\sigma$ is a Patterson-Sullivan measure associated to $\Gamma_{\rho}$ then there exists an unique $\lambda \in P\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$ such that the support of $\sigma$ is $F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right) \times \lambda$.

Using Patterson-Sullivan's measures, we construct measures on

$$
\Gamma \backslash{ }^{1} X_{1} \times T^{1} X_{2}
$$

invariant by the Weyl chamber flow.
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## 1. Preliminaries on pinched Hadamard manifolds

The goal of this section is to recall well known notions and results which will be used in the next sections. Let $X$ be a simply connected, negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Such a manifold is called a Hadamard manifold. Let $K$ be the sectionnal curvature of $X$. One supposes that there exist $a>0$ and $b>0$ such that $-b^{2} \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}$. In this case, $X$ is called a pinched Hadamard manifold. In this paper one always normalizes $K$ by sup $K=-1$. Denote $\partial X$ the geometric boundary of $X$ and $I(X)$ the group of isometries. The action of $I(X)$ on $X$ induces an action on $\partial X$ by homeomorphism. Let $I^{+}(X)$ be the subgroup of orientation preserving isometries $; g \in I^{+}(X)$ is elliptic if it fixes at least one point in $X$. If $g$ is not elliptic then $g$ fixes exactly 1 or 2 points in $\partial X$. In the first case $g$ is parabolic, in the second one, $g$ is hyperbolic. In both cases, $\left(g^{n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(g^{-n}(x)\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ converge, the limits do not depend on $x \in X$ and belong to $\partial X$. Set $g^{+}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g^{n}(x)$ and $g^{-}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g^{-n}(x)$. The isometry $g$ is parabolic if and only if $g^{+}=g^{-}$. Define the length of $g \in I(X)$ by $\ell(g)=\inf _{x \in X} d(x, g(x))$. If $g$ is hyperbolic $\ell(g)=d(z, g(z))$ for any $z$ in the geodesic $\left(g^{-} g^{+}\right)$, if $g$ is parabolic or elliptic $\ell(g)=0$.

Lemma 1.1 ([9]). - Fix $0 \in X$. Let $g \in I^{+}(X)$.
(1) If $g$ is hyperbolic, there exists $K_{1}>0$ such that:

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z},|n| \ell(g) \leqslant d\left(0, g^{n}(0)\right) \leqslant K_{1}+|n| \ell(g)
$$

(2) If $g$ is parabolic, there exists $K_{2}>0$ such that:

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, d\left(0, g^{n}(0)\right) \leqslant K_{2} \log (|n|+1)
$$

The first inequality is obvious, the second one is proved in [9] and uses the fact that the curvature is pinched (see also [12]).

Take $\xi \in \partial X$ and $x, y \in X$. Consider a geodesic ray $r(t)$ with extremity $\xi$. The limit of $d(x, r(t))-d(y, r(t))$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$, exists, and it does not depend on the origin of $r$ and is denoted by $B_{\xi}(x, y)$. Fix an origine $0 \in X$ and consider the map $D: \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$defined by $D(\xi, \xi)=0$ and, for $\xi \neq \eta$, by $D(\xi, \eta)=e^{-1 / 2\left(B_{\xi}(0, z)+B_{\eta}(0, z)\right)} \leqslant 1$ where $z$ is any point in $(\xi \eta)$. For $g \in I(X)$, set $\left|g^{\prime}(\xi)\right|=e^{B_{\xi}\left(0, g^{-1}(0)\right)}$. If $g$ is hyperbolic or parabolic, remark that $\left|g^{\prime}\left(g^{ \pm}\right)\right|=e^{\mp \ell(g)}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([3]). - The map $D$ is a distance (called Gromov-Bourdon distance) on $\partial X$ satisfying:
(1) There exist $C \geqslant 1$ and $t>1$ such that for any $\xi, \eta \in \partial X$

$$
\frac{1}{C} t^{-d(0,(\xi \eta))} \leqslant D(\xi, \eta) \leqslant C t^{-d(0,(\xi \eta))}
$$

(2) For any $g \in I(X)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \partial X$ :

$$
D(g(\xi), g(\eta))=\left|g^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{1 / 2}\left|g^{\prime}(\eta)\right|^{1 / 2} D(\xi, \eta)
$$

Let us prove now two lemmas which will be used in the next section.
Lemma 1.3. - Let $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ be a sequence of $I(X)$. Suppose that

$$
\left(g_{n}(0)\right)_{n \geqslant 1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(g_{n}^{-1}(0)\right)_{n \geqslant 1}
$$

converge respectively to $\xi \in \partial X$ and $\xi^{\prime} \in \partial X$. Then for any $x \in X U \partial X-$ $\left\{\xi^{\prime}\right\}$, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(x)=\xi$. Moreover if $g_{n}$ are hyperbolic or parabolic then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}^{+}=\xi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}^{-}=\xi^{\prime}$.

Proof. - Since $d\left(g_{n}(0), g_{n}(x)\right)=d(0, x)$ for any $x \in X, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(x)=\xi$. Let $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ in $\partial X-\left\{\xi^{\prime}\right\}$, using the theorem 1.2 (1), one obtains

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} D\left(g_{n}(\eta), g_{n}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\right)=0
$$

Take $x \in\left(\eta \eta^{\prime}\right)$, since $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(x)=\xi$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(\eta)=\xi$. Suppose now that $g_{n}$ is hyperbolic or parabolic, then, according to the theorem 1.2 (2), one has :

$$
D\left(g_{n}(\eta), g_{n}^{+}\right)=\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right|^{1 / 2} e^{-1 / 2 \ell\left(g_{n}\right)} D\left(\eta, g_{n}^{+}\right)
$$

hence $D\left(g_{n}(\eta), g_{n}^{+}\right) \leqslant\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right|^{1 / 2}$. Since $\eta \neq \xi^{\prime}, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} B_{\eta}\left(0, g_{n}^{-1}(0)\right)=-\infty$ and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}^{+}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(\eta)=\xi$. The same argument holds for $g_{n}^{-}$.

Lemma 1.4 ([6]). - Let $g$ and $h$ be hyperbolic isometries
(1) If $\left\{g^{+}, g^{-}\right\} \cap\left\{h^{+}, h^{-}\right\}=\phi$ then there exist $N>0$ and $d>0$ such that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\left|\ell\left(g^{N n} h^{N m}\right)-|n| \ell\left(g^{N}\right)-|m| \ell\left(h^{N}\right)\right| \leqslant d
$$

(2) If $g^{+}=h^{+}$then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ :

$$
\ell\left(g^{n} h^{m}\right)=|n \ell(g)+m \ell(h)| .
$$

## Proof. -

(1) It is proved in [6] (Lemma 4.1).
(2) One has $g^{n} h^{m}\left(g^{+}\right)=g^{+}$and $\left|\left(g^{n} h^{m}\right)^{\prime}\left(g^{+}\right)\right|=e^{-n \ell(g)-m \ell(h)}$, hence $g h$ is hyperbolic and $\ell\left(g^{n} h^{m}\right)=n \ell(g)+m \ell(h)$.

## 2. Limit sets of groups acting on $X_{1} \times X_{2}$

The results proved in this section are inspired by [2], [4] and [5].
For $i=1,2$, let $X_{i}$ be a pinched Hadamard manifold. Denote $Y=X_{1} \times$ $X_{2}$ the Riemannian product of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Fix an origine $0=\left(0_{1}, 0_{2}\right) \in Y$. Let $\varphi$ be the bijection from the unitary tangent bundle, $T_{0}^{1} Y$, of $Y$ at 0 , into $\left.T_{0_{1}}^{1} X_{1} \times T_{0_{2}}^{1} X_{2} \times\right] 0, \infty\left[\cup T_{0_{1}}^{1} X_{1} \cup T_{0_{2}}^{1} X_{2}\right.$ defined by

$$
\varphi\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{U_{1}}{\left\|U_{1}\right\|}, \frac{U_{2}}{\left\|U_{2}\right\|}, \frac{\left\|U_{1}\right\|}{\left\|U_{2}\right\|}\right) \text { if }\left\|U_{1}\right\|\left\|U_{2}\right\| \neq 0
$$

and by $\varphi\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=U_{i}$ if $\left\|U_{i}\right\|=1$. The map $\varphi$ induces a one-to-one correspondance between the geometric boundary, $\partial Y$, of $Y$ and $\partial X_{1} \times$ $\left.\partial X_{2} \times\right] 0, \infty\left[\cup \partial X_{1} \cup \partial X_{2}\right.$. The regular (resp. singular) boundary of $Y$, denoted $\partial Y_{\text {reg }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\partial Y_{\text {sing }}\right)$ is identified with

$$
\left.\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\right] 0, \infty\left[\left(\text { resp. } \partial X_{1} \cup \partial X_{2}\right)\right.
$$

A sequence $y_{n}=\left(y_{n_{1}}, y_{n_{2}}\right)$ of $Y$ converges to $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, p\right) \in \partial Y_{\text {reg }}$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n_{i}}=\xi_{i}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d\left(0_{1}, y_{n_{1}}\right)}{d\left(0_{2}, y_{n_{2}}\right)}=p$; and converges to $\xi \in \partial X_{1}$ (resp. $\partial X_{2}$ ) if $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n_{1}}=\xi_{1}$ (resp. $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n_{2}}=\xi_{2}$ ) and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d\left(0_{1}, y_{n_{1}}\right)}{d\left(0_{2}, y_{n_{2}}\right)}=\infty$ (resp. 0). An element $g \in I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$ is called hyperbolic if $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are hyperbolic. The following lemma is a consequence of the lemma 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. - Let $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$.
If $g$ is hyperbolic then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g^{n}(0)=\left(g_{1}^{+}, g_{2}^{+}, \frac{\ell\left(g_{1}\right)}{\ell\left(g_{2}\right)}\right)$.
If $g_{i}$ is hyperbolic and the other factor is parabolic then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g^{n}(0)=g_{i}^{+} \in \partial Y_{\text {sing }} .
$$

If $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$ denote, for $i=1,2, \Gamma_{i}$ the projection of $\Gamma$ into $I\left(X_{i}\right)$. One says that $\Gamma_{i}$ is strongly nonelementary if $\Gamma_{i}$ is nonelementary (i.e $L\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ is infinite) and if $\Gamma_{i}$ has no global fixed point in $L\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$.

Lemma 2.2. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are strongly nonelementary. Take $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in L\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \times L\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$, for any $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \in L\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \times L\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ there exists $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \neq \eta_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Proof. - Suppose $\xi_{1}=\eta_{1}$ and $\xi_{2} \neq \eta_{2}$. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are strongly nonelementary there exist $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \neq \xi_{1}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \neq \xi_{2}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \neq \eta_{2}$. If $\gamma_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \neq \eta_{2}$ or $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \neq \xi_{1}$ then $\gamma$ or $\gamma^{\prime}$ is the one that we searched for, otherwise $\gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ satisfies the required property. Suppose now $\xi_{1}=\eta_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}=\eta_{2}$, consider $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \neq \xi_{1}$. If $\gamma_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \neq \xi_{2}$ the lemma is proved, otherwise we are in the previous situation.

Let $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$ and $\xi \in \partial Y$. The action of $I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$ on $\partial Y$ is given by $g(\xi)=\left(g_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right), g_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right), p\right)$ if $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, p\right) \in \partial Y_{\text {reg }}$ and by $g(\xi)=g_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ if $\xi=\xi_{i} \in \partial Y_{\text {sing }}$. Consider a subgroup $\Gamma \subset I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$, in general its limit set, $L(\Gamma)=\overline{\Gamma(0)} \cap \partial Y$, is not minimal. Set $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)=$ $L(\Gamma) \cap \partial Y_{\text {reg }}$, if $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma) \neq \phi$ denote $F(\Gamma)$ the projection of $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)$ into $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2}$ and $P(\Gamma)$ its projection into $] 0, \infty[$. The following propositions are already proved in the case where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are symmetric spaces [2], [4], [11] or if $\Gamma$ is a graph group associated to Schottky groups [4], [5]).

Proposition 2.3. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I\left(X_{1}\right) \times I\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are strongly nonelementary. If $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma) \neq \phi$ then $F(\Gamma)$ is a minimal closed invariant subset of $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2}$ and $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)=F(\Gamma) \times P(\Gamma)$.

Proof. - Consider $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ in $F(\Gamma)$ and let us prove that $\xi \in \overline{\Gamma \eta} \subset F(\Gamma)$. Let $\gamma_{n}=\left(\gamma_{n_{1}}, \gamma_{n_{2}}\right)$ be a sequence of $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{n}(0)$ converges to a point $(\xi, p) \in L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)$. One can suppose that for $i=1,2$ the sequence $\gamma_{n_{i}}^{-1}\left(0_{i}\right)$ converges to a point $\xi_{i}^{\prime} \in \partial X_{i}$. If $\eta_{i} \neq \xi_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$, then, according to the lemma 1.3, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{n_{i}}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\xi_{i}$ and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{n}(\eta)=\xi$.

Otherwise, applying the lemma 2.2, one obtains $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right) \neq \xi_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$ hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{n} \gamma(\eta)=\xi$. In conclusion, $F(\Gamma)$ is minimal.

Take $p \in P(\Gamma)$ and let us prove that for any $\eta \in F(\Gamma),(\eta, p) \in L(\Gamma)$. Since $p \in P(\Gamma)$, there exist $\left(\gamma_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ in $\Gamma$ and $\xi \in F(\Gamma)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{n}(0)=$ $(\xi, p)$. Using the fact that $F(\Gamma)$ is minimal and that $\gamma(\xi, p)=(\gamma(\xi), p)$ one obtains that $(\eta, p) \in L(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 2.4. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right) \times I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are strongly nonelementary and do not contain elliptic isometries, then $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma) \neq \phi$,
and $P(\Gamma)$ is an interval.
Proof. - Let us first prove that $\Gamma$ contains hyperbolic isometries. Take $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$, according to the lemma 2.2 there exists $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $g_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}^{+}\right) \neq \gamma_{1}^{+}$and $g_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}^{+}\right) \neq \gamma_{2}^{+}$. Using the dynamics of parabolic and hyperbolic isometries ([10] section 8, theorems 16 and 17), one obtains that for some $N, M \in \mathbb{Z}$ the isometry $g_{i} \gamma_{i}^{N} g_{i}^{-1} \gamma_{i}^{M}$ is hyperbolic for $i=1,2$. One deduces from the lemma 2.1 that $L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma) \neq \phi$.

Denote $\left.I=\left\{\overline{\frac{\ell\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}{\ell\left(\gamma_{2}\right)} /\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma \text { and is hyperbolic }}\right\} \cap\right] 0, \infty[$.
Let us prove that $P(\Gamma)=I$. According to the lemma 2.1, it is enough to prove that $P(\Gamma) \subset I$.

Let $p \in P(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma_{n}=\left(\gamma_{n_{1}}, \gamma_{n_{2}}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d\left(0_{1}, \gamma_{n_{1}}\left(0_{1}\right)\right)}{d\left(0_{2}, \gamma_{n_{2}}\left(0_{2}\right)\right)}=p$. One can suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{n_{i}}^{+}=\xi_{i}^{+}$and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{n_{i}}^{-}=\xi_{i}^{-}$for $i=1,2$. Using the lemma 2.2, one obtains $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $g_{i}\left(\xi_{i}^{+}\right) \neq \xi_{i}^{-}$. According to the lemma 1.3, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)^{+}=g_{i}\left(\xi_{i}^{+}\right)$and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)^{-}=\xi_{i}^{-}$, hence since $g_{i}\left(\xi_{i}^{+}\right) \neq \xi_{i}^{-}$, for $n$ large enough, $g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}$ is hyperbolic, moreover there exists $K>0$ such that $d\left(0_{i},\left(\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)^{-}\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)^{+}\right)\right)<K$ for any $n>0$. Let $z_{n_{i}} \in\left(\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)^{-}\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)^{+}\right)$one has $\ell\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)=d\left(z_{n_{i}}, g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\left(z_{n_{i}}\right)\right)$ hence

$$
\ell\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right) \leqslant d\left(0_{i}, g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\left(0_{i}\right)\right) \leqslant 2 K+\ell\left(g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\right)
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(0_{i}, g_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}}\left(0_{i}\right)\right)=+\infty$, one deduces from the previous inequality that $p=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\ell\left(g_{1} \gamma_{n_{1}}\right)}{\ell\left(g_{2} \gamma_{n_{2}}\right)}$. In conclusion $P(\Gamma) \subset I$.

Let us prove that $I$ is an interval. Take $\ell=\frac{\ell\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}{\ell\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}$ and $\ell^{\prime}=\frac{\ell\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{\ell\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)}$ in $I$. Suppose $\ell<\ell^{\prime}$ and let us prove that $\left[\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right] \subset I$. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ is strongly nonelementary there exists $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
\left\{h_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}^{+}\right), h_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}^{-}\right)\right\} \cap\left\{\gamma_{1}^{\prime+}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime-}\right\}=\phi
$$

Set $g=h \gamma h^{-1}$. Remark that $\ell\left(g_{i}\right)=\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$. One can suppose that $\left\{g_{2}^{+}, g_{2}^{-}\right\} \cap$ $\left\{\gamma_{2}^{\prime+}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime-}\right\}=\phi$ or that $g_{2}^{+}=\gamma_{2}^{\prime+}$ by taking $g^{-1}$ if necessary. Applying the lemma 1.4 , there exist $N \geqslant 1$ and $d \geqslant 0$ such that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\left|\ell\left(g_{i}^{N n} \gamma_{i}^{\prime N m}\right)-|n| \ell\left(g_{i}^{N}\right)-|m| \ell\left(\gamma_{i}^{N}\right)\right| \leqslant d
$$

for $i=1,2$. One deduces from this inequality that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\ell\left(g_{1}^{N n k} \gamma_{1}^{\prime N m k}\right)}{\ell\left(g_{2}^{N n k} \gamma_{2}^{\prime N m k}\right)}=\frac{|n| \ell\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+|m| \ell\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{|n| \ell\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+|m| \ell\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

In conclusion, $\frac{\ell\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+q \ell\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{\ell\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+q \ell\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)} \in I$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*}$ hence $\left[\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right] \subset I$.
Let us introduce a family of subgroups of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right) \times I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ called graph groups. Fix a subgroup $\Gamma_{1} \subset I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and a faithful representation $\rho$ : $\Gamma_{1} \rightarrow I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$. The graph group denoted by $\Gamma_{\rho}$ associated to $\rho$ is defined by $\Gamma_{\rho}=\left\{\left(\gamma_{1}, \rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right) / \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}\right\}$.

Remark 2.5. - In the particular case where $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}=\rho\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ are convex-co compact,(i.e., the closure of the set of closed geodesics in $\Gamma_{i}{ }^{X} X_{i}$ is compact) $\rho$ induces an homeomorphism $\varphi$ between $L\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $L\left(\rho\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)([T])\right.$, and $F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=\left\{(\xi, \varphi(\xi)) / \xi \in L\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right\}$.

Fix a system of generators $S_{1}=\left\{s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}\right\}$ of $\Gamma_{1}$ and put $S_{2}=$ $\left\{\rho\left(s_{1}\right), \cdots, \rho\left(s_{n}\right)\right\}$. Since $\Gamma_{i}$ is convex cocompact, there is a quasi-isometry between $\left(\Gamma_{i},| |_{s_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(\Gamma_{i}\left(0_{i}\right), d\right)([\mathrm{Bo}])$. This property implies that $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=$ $L_{\mathrm{reg}}\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$.

Corollary 2.6. - Let $\Gamma_{\rho}$ be a graph group of $I^{+}(Y)$ associated to $\rho: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$. Suppose that $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are strongly nonelementary subgroups of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ without elliptic elements and that $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=L_{\mathrm{reg}}\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$. Then there exists $k>0$ such that $\ell\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=k \ell\left(\rho\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for any $\gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$ if and only if $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$ is minimal.

Proof. - According to the proposition 2.4, one has

$$
L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right) \times\left\{\overline{\frac{\ell\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}{\ell\left(\rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)} / \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1} \text { and is hyperbolic }}\right\} .
$$

Since $F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$ is minimal and $\Gamma_{\rho}\left(F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right) \times\{p\}\right)=F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right) \times\{p\}$ then $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$ is minimal if and only if $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right) \times\{k\}$.

Suppose that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are symmetric spaces of rank 1 and $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are Zariski dense. If $\rho$ preserves the length then, according to the corollary 2.6, one has $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=F\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right) \times\{1\}$. This property implies, according to a result proved in [2] (theorem 1.2) that $\Gamma_{\rho}$ is not Zariski dense. Applying a criterion proved in [7],[8], one obtains the following already known result :

Corollary 2.7 ([7]). - Suppose that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are symmetric spaces of rank 1 and $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are Zariski dense. If $\rho$ preserves the length then $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are isometric and $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are conjugate by an isometry. See $[14,13,15,16]$ for similar results.

The following result is partially proved in [5].
Proposition 2.8. - Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I^{+}\left(X_{1}\right) \times I^{+}\left(X_{2}\right)$ acting freely on $Y$. If $L(\Gamma)=L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma$ is a graph group $\Gamma_{\rho}$ and $\rho$ preserves the type of isometries.

Proof. - Let us prove that for $i=1,2$ the projection $q_{i}: \Gamma \rightarrow I^{+}\left(X_{i}\right)$ is injective. Suppose that $\gamma=\left(I d, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$, since $\Gamma$ acts freely, $\gamma_{2}$ is not elliptic, hence $\gamma^{n}(0)$ converges to a point in $\partial Y_{\text {sing }}$ which contradicts the fact that $L(\Gamma) \cap \partial Y_{\text {sing }}=\phi$. In conclusion $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are injective and hence $q_{2} \circ q_{1}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism. This proves that $\Gamma=\Gamma q_{2} \circ q_{1}^{-1}$. Using the fact that $L(\Gamma)=L_{\mathrm{reg}}(\Gamma)$ and the lemma 1.1, one obtains that $q_{2} \circ q_{1}^{-1}$ preserves the type of isometries.

## 3. Shadow lemma for $(\beta, p)$-conformal measures

In this section one adapts the work of P. Albuquerque [1] and of M. Burger [4], replacing the symmetric space by the product of pinched Hadamard manifolds $Y=X_{1} \times X_{2}$.

Set $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\{\infty\}=\partial X_{1}$ and $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\{0\}=\partial X_{2}$, using this notation, one identifies $\partial Y$ with $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times[0, \infty]$ (see section 2). For $p \in[0, \infty]$, denote $\partial Y_{p}=\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2} \times\{p\}$. Let $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, p\right) \in \partial Y$ and $a_{1}, a_{2} \geqslant 0$ such that $a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}=1$ and $p=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}$; for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ in $Y$, set $B_{\xi}(x, y)=a_{1} B_{\xi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+a_{2} B_{\xi_{2}}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$. If $r(t)$ is a geodesic ray with extremity $\xi$ then $B_{\xi}(x, y)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} d(x, r(t))-d(y, r(t))$ ([B-G-S]). Fix an origin $0=\left(0_{1}, 0_{2}\right) \in Y$. For $g \in I(Y)$, denote $\left|g^{\prime}(\xi)\right|=$ $e^{B_{\xi}(0, g-1(0))}$. One says that a subgroup $\Gamma \subset I(Y)$ is discrete if it acts properly discontinuously on $Y$.

Definition 3.1. - Let $\Gamma$ be an infinite discrete subgroup of $I(Y)$ and $\beta \geqslant 0, p \in[0, \infty]$. A $\beta$-conformal measure $\mu$ on $\partial Y$ is a finite Borel measure such that for any Borel subset $B \subset \partial Y$ one has :

$$
\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \mu(\gamma(B))=\int_{B}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{\beta} d \mu(\xi)
$$

$A(\beta, p)$ - conformal measure is a $\beta$ - conformal measure whose support is included in $\partial Y_{p}$.

Denote $P(s)$ its Poincaré series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d(0, \gamma(0))}$ and $\delta(\Gamma)$ the critical exponent of $P(s)$. Since the curvature of $X$ is bounded from the below, $\delta(\Gamma)$ is finite, suppose $\delta(\Gamma)>0$, let $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a suitable function such that $Q(s)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(d(0, \gamma(0))) e^{-s d(0, \gamma(0))}$ diverges at $s=\delta(\Gamma)[18]$. For $s>\delta(\Gamma)$, one defines a measure :

$$
\sigma^{s}=\frac{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(d(0, \gamma(0))) e^{-s d(0, \gamma(0))} D_{\gamma(0)}}{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(d(0, \gamma(0))) e^{-s d(0, \gamma(0))}}
$$

where $D_{\gamma(0)}$ denotes the Dirac mass at $\gamma(0)$.
A Patterson-Sullivan measure, $\sigma$, is a weak limit as $s \rightarrow \delta(\Gamma)$. The support of $\sigma$ is included in $L(\Gamma)$ and $\sigma$ satisfies the following $\delta(\Gamma)$-conformal property [1] : $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall B$ Borel subset of $\partial X$ :

$$
\sigma(\gamma(B))=\int_{B}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{\delta(\Gamma)} d \sigma(\xi)
$$

Let $q$ be the map from $\partial Y$ onto $[0, \infty]$ defined by $q\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, p\right)=p$. Disintegrating $\sigma$ along the fibers of $q$ one obtains for some $p \in[0, \infty]$, such that $\sigma\left(\partial Y_{p}\right) \neq 0$, a $(\delta(\Gamma), p)$-conformal measure $\sigma_{p}([A])$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in$ $Y-\{0\}$ with $x_{1} \neq 0_{1}$ and $x_{2} \neq 0_{2}$, there exists a unique Weyl chamber $W=\left[0_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \times\left[0_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)$ centered at 0 passing through $x$. The projection of $x$ in the direction $p \in[0, \infty]$, denoted $\pi^{p}(x)$, is by definition the orthogonal projection of $x$ into the geodesic ray $\left[0,\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, p\right)\right)$. Put $p=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}$ with $a_{i} \geqslant 0$ and $a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}=1$. If $x_{1}=0_{1}$ or $x_{2}=0_{2}$ then $\pi^{p}(x)$ depends on the choice of $W$, but $d\left(0, \pi^{p}(x)\right)=a_{1} d\left(0_{1}, x_{1}\right)+a_{2} d\left(0_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ is well defined. Denote $B(x, r)$ the ball centered at $x$ with radius $r>0$; for $A \subset Y$, the Shadow, $S(x, A)$, of $A$ relative to $x$, is defined by $S(x, A)=\{\xi \in \partial Y / \xi$ belongs to the boundary of a Weyl chamber centered at $x$ meeting $A\}$.

Theorem 3.2 (Shadow lemma). - Let $\Gamma$ be an infinite discrete subgroup of $I(Y)$. Suppose that $L(\Gamma)$ is not included in a small cell defined by $\left\{\xi_{1}\right\} \times \partial X_{2} \times[0, \infty] \cup \partial X_{1} \times\left\{\xi_{2}\right\} \times[0, \infty]$. If $\mu$ is a $(\beta, p)$ - conformal
measure then there exists $d_{0}>0$ such that for every $r \geqslant d_{0}$, there exists $c(r) \geqslant 1$ such that:

$$
\frac{1}{c(r)} e^{-\beta d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.} \leqslant \mu\left(S(0, B(\gamma(0), r)) \leqslant c(r) e^{-\beta d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.}\right.
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
Proof. - Let us equip $\partial X_{1} \times \partial X_{2}$ with the product distance associated to the Gromov-Bourdon distance introduced in section 1 on each factor and with a standard metric with length 1 on $[0, \infty]$.

Step 1. - There exist $0<q<\mu(\partial Y)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for any measurable set $F$ contained in a $\varepsilon_{0}$-neighborhood of a small cell, $\mu(F) \leqslant q$.

Proof. - Let $d=\sup \{\mu$ (small cell) $\}$. Since a sequence of small cells has an accumulation point, in Hausdorff topology, which is itself a small cell, $d$ is realized and $d<\mu(\partial Y)$ because the support of $\mu$ is not included in a small cell. Set $q=\frac{\mu(\partial Y)+d}{2}$, one has $q>d$. Suppose that there exist a sequence of reals $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \varepsilon_{n}=0$ and a sequence $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n=1}$ of measurable sets in $\partial Y$ included in a $\varepsilon_{n}$-neighborhood of some small cell such that $\mu\left(F_{n}\right) \geqslant q$. One can suppose that $F_{n}$ converges, in Hausdorff topology, to a small cell $S$ satisfying $\mu(S) \geqslant q>d$. This contradicts the definition of $d$.

Step 2. - For all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that for any $c>c_{0}$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ the set $\partial Y_{p}-S(x, B(0, c)) \cap \partial Y_{p}$, is contained in a $\varepsilon$ neighborhood of a small cell.

Proof. - Fix $\varepsilon>0$, since $X_{i}$ are pinched Hadamard manifolds, there exists $c>0$ such that $\partial X_{i}-S\left(x_{i}, B\left(0_{i}, c\right)\right)$ is included in a $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of some $\eta_{i} \in \partial X_{i}$, for each $x_{i} \in X_{i}$. One has $S\left(x, B\left(0_{1}, c\right) \times B\left(0_{2}, c\right)\right) \subset$ $S(x, B(0, \sqrt{2} c))$ hence

$$
\partial Y_{p}-S(x, B(0, \sqrt{2} c)) \cap \partial Y_{p} \subset \partial Y_{p}-S\left(x, B\left(0_{1}, c\right) x B\left(0_{2}, c\right)\right) \cap \partial Y_{p}
$$

Let $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, p\right)$ belong to the previous set, then $\left[x_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \times\left[x_{2}, \xi_{2}\right) \cap B\left(0_{1}, c\right) \times$ $B\left(0_{2}, c\right)=\phi$ and hence $\xi_{1} \notin S\left(x_{1}, B\left(0_{1}, c\right)\right)$ or $\xi_{2} \notin S\left(x_{2}, B\left(0_{2}, c\right)\right)$. In conclusion, if $c>c_{0}$ then there exists $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \in \partial X_{1} \times \partial>X_{2}$ such that
$\partial Y_{p}-S(x, B(0, \sqrt{2} c)) \cap \partial Y_{p} \subset B\left(\eta_{1}, \varepsilon\right) \times \partial X_{2} \times\{p\} \cup \partial X_{1} \times B\left(\eta_{2}, \varepsilon\right) \times\{p\}$
which is included in a $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\left\{\eta_{1}\right\} \times \partial X_{2} \times[0, \infty] \cup \partial X_{1} \times\left\{\eta_{2}\right\} \times$ $[0, \infty]$.

Step 3. - Let $c>0$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\xi \in \partial Y$ such that $\xi \in$ $S(0, B(\gamma(0), c)) \cap \partial Y_{p}$ one has

$$
\mid-B_{\xi}(0, \gamma(0))+d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0)) \mid \leqslant 6 c .\right.
$$

Proof. - Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$, suppose $\gamma(0) \neq 0$ and consider a Weyl chamber $W$ centered at 0 passing through $\gamma(0)$. Take $\xi^{\prime} \in \partial W \cap \partial Y_{p}$, one has $B_{\xi}(0, \gamma(0))=d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.$.

Choose now $\xi \in S(0, B(\gamma(0), c)) \cap \partial Y_{p}$, there exists a Weyl chamber $W^{\prime}$ centered at 0 such that $[0, \xi) \subset W^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime} \cap B(\gamma(0), c) \neq \phi$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in W^{\prime} \cap B(\gamma(0), c)$. One has

$$
\left|-B_{\xi}(0, \gamma(0))+B_{\xi}(0, x)\right|=\left|B_{\xi}(x, \gamma(0))\right| \leqslant d(x, \gamma(0)) \leqslant c
$$

To prove the required inequality it is enough to show that $\mid-B_{\xi}(0, x)+$ $B_{\xi^{\prime}}(0, \gamma(0)) \mid \leqslant 5 c$. Set $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, p\right)$ and $L_{i}=\left[0, \xi_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, one has $W^{\prime}=L_{1} \times L_{2}$. Remark that $W^{\prime} \cap B\left(\gamma_{1}\left(0_{1}\right), c\right) \times B\left(\gamma_{2}\left(0_{2}\right), c\right) \neq \phi$ hence $L_{i} \cap B\left(\gamma_{i}\left(0_{i}\right), c\right) \neq$ $\phi$. Take $y_{i} \in L_{i}$ such that $d\left(0_{i}, y_{i}\right)=d\left(0_{i}, \gamma_{i}\left(0_{i}\right)\right)$, one has $B_{\xi^{\prime}}(0, \gamma(0))=$ $B_{\xi}(0, y)$ hence

$$
\left|-B_{\xi}(0, x)+B_{\xi^{\prime}}(0, \gamma(0))\right|=\left|B_{\xi}(x, y)\right| \leqslant d(x, y)
$$

Moreover using the fact that $L_{i} \cap B\left(\gamma_{i}\left(0_{i}\right), c\right) \neq \phi$ we get $d\left(0_{i}, y_{i}\right) \leqslant 3 c$ by the triangle inequality. This proves that $d(x, y) \leqslant 5 c$.

End of the proof of the theorem 3.2. - Pick $c_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}$ in steps 1 and 2. Then for $c>c_{0}$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ one has:

$$
\mu\left(\partial Y_{p}\right) \geqslant \mu\left(S\left(\gamma^{-1}(0), B(0, c)\right)\right) \geqslant \mu\left(\partial Y_{p}\right)-q>0
$$

Moreover $S\left(\gamma^{-1}(0), B(0, c)\right)=\gamma^{-1}(S(0, B(\gamma(0), c))$ hence

$$
\mu\left(S\left(\gamma^{-1}(0), B(0, c)\right)=\int_{S(0, B(\gamma(0), c)} e^{\beta B_{\xi}(0, \gamma(0))} d \mu(\xi) \geqslant \mu\left(\partial Y_{p}\right)-q\right.
$$

By step 3 we get

$$
\mu\left(S(0, B(\gamma(0), c))=\left(\mu\left(\partial Y_{p}\right)-q\right) C_{1}(c) e^{-\beta d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.}\right.
$$

and

$$
\mu\left(S(0, B(\gamma(0), c)) \leqslant \mu\left(\partial Y_{p}\right) C_{2}(c) e^{-\beta d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.}\right.
$$

For $p \in[0, \infty]$ and $r>0$ set :

$$
B_{p}(0, r)=\left\{y \in Y-\{0\} / d\left(0, \pi^{p}(y)\right) \leqslant r\right\}
$$

Corollary 3.3. - Let $\Gamma$ be an infinite discrete subgroup of $I(Y)$. Suppose that $L(\Gamma)$ is not included in a small cell. If $\mu$ is a $(\beta, p)$ - conformal measure with $p \in] 0, \infty[$ then there exists $c>0$ such that for any $\ell>0$ :

$$
\left|\left\{\gamma(0) / \gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma(0) \in B_{p}(0, \ell)\right\}\right| \leqslant c \ell e^{\beta \ell} .
$$

Proof. - Since $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq \infty$ the ball $B_{p}(0, \ell)$ is compact, hence there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that for any Weyl chamber $W$ centered at 0 and for any $\ell>0$ the set $\left\{y \in W-\{0\} / \ell \leqslant d\left(0, \pi^{p}(y)\right) \leqslant \ell+1\right\}$ is covered by $C_{1} \ell$ balls of radius 1 . Using the fact that is discrete, for $c>0$ one obtains $C_{2}>0$ such that for any $\ell>0$ and any Weyl chamber $W$ centered at 0 one has :

$$
\mid\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma / \ell \leqslant d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0)) \leqslant \ell+1, W \cap B(\gamma(0), c)\right) \neq \phi\right\} \leqslant C_{2} \ell
$$

Therefore any $\xi \in \partial Y_{\text {reg }}$ belongs to at most $C_{2} \ell$ shadows of balls $B(\gamma(0), c)$ for such $\gamma^{\prime} s$. Thus there exists $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma / \ell \leqslant d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right) \leqslant \ell+1\right\}} \mu\left(S(0, B(\gamma(0), c)) \leqslant C_{3} \ell \mu\left(\partial Y_{p}\right) .\right.
$$

Applying the theorem 3.2, one obtains for $c \geqslant d$ a constant $C_{4}>0$ such that:

$$
\left|\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma / \ell \leqslant d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right) \leqslant \ell+1\right\}\right| e^{-\beta \ell} \leqslant C_{4} \ell .
$$

Finally there exists $C_{5}>0$ such that :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\{\gamma(0) / \gamma & \left.\in \Gamma, \gamma(0) \in B_{p}(0, \ell)\right\} \mid \\
& \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1}\left|\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma / n \leqslant d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right) \leqslant n+1\right\}\right| \\
& \leqslant C_{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} n e^{\beta n} \leqslant C_{5} \ell e^{\ell n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $I(Y)$ satisfying the hypothesis of corollary 3.3. One defines the Poincaré series relative to $p \in[0, \infty]$ by :

$$
P_{p}(s)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right.}
$$

Denote $\delta_{p}(\Gamma)$ the critical exponent of $P_{p}(s)$. Since

$$
d\left(0, \pi^{p}(\gamma(0))\right) \leqslant d(0, \gamma(0))
$$

one has $\delta_{p}(\Gamma) \geqslant \delta(\Gamma)$. Write $p=\frac{a}{b}$ with $a^{2}+b^{2}=1$, if $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq \infty$ then

$$
\delta_{p}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{Inf}_{s>0}\left\{s / \sum_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma} e^{-s\left(a d\left(0_{1}, \gamma_{1}\left(0_{1}\right)+b d\left(0_{2}, \gamma_{2}\left(0_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right.}<\infty\right\}
$$

Let $A=\left\{\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} / \sum_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma} e^{-x_{1} d\left(0_{1}, \gamma_{1}\left(0_{1}\right)-x_{2} d\left(0_{2}, \gamma_{2}(02)\right)\right)}<\infty\right\}$.
By Hölder inequality, A is convex. Since A is convex and $\delta_{p}(\Gamma) \geqslant \delta(\Gamma)$, there exists at most one $q \in[0, \infty]$ such that $\delta_{q}(\Gamma)=\delta(\Gamma)$. Suppose $\delta_{p}(\Gamma)<\infty$ and consider a Patterson-Sullivan measure $\sigma$ on $\partial Y$. Since $\sigma(\partial Y) \neq 0$, disintegrating $\sigma$ along $[0, \infty]$ we get a $\delta(\Gamma)$-conformal measure $\sigma_{p}$ supported by $\partial Y_{p}$ such that $\sigma_{p}(\partial Y) \neq 0$. Since

$$
\delta_{p}(\Gamma)=\limsup _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log \left|\Gamma 0 \cap B_{p}(0, R)\right|}{R}
$$

if $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq \infty$, according to the corollary 3.3 , one has $\delta_{p}(\Gamma) \leqslant \delta(\Gamma)$. Moreover $\delta_{p}(\Gamma) \geqslant \delta(\Gamma)$, hence $\delta_{p}(\Gamma)=\delta(\Gamma)$. This proves the following corollary :

Corollary 3.4. - Let $\Gamma$ be an infinite discrete subgroup of $I(Y)$. Suppose that $L(\Gamma)$ is not included in a small cell. If for some

$$
p \in] 0, \infty\left[, \sigma_{p}(\partial Y) \neq 0\right.
$$

then $\delta_{p}(\Gamma)=\delta(\Gamma)$ and such $p$ is unique.
Remark 3.5. - In the particular case where $\Gamma=\Gamma_{\rho}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\rho\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ are convex cocompact, $L\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)=L_{\mathrm{reg}}\left(\Gamma_{\rho}\right)$ (Remark 2.5) and hence, there exists a unique $p_{0} \in P(\Gamma)$ such that $\sigma=\sigma_{p_{0}}$
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