JOURNÉES ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES

FRANÇOIS TRÈVES Non embeddable CR-structures

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1981), p. 1-8

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1981____A8_0

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (http://www.math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



NON EMBEDDABLE CR-STRUCTURES (*

by François TREVES

A CR-structure on a smooth manifold Ω is the datum of a closed (see [5], Ch. 1, Def. 1.1) vector subbundle T' of the <u>complex</u> cotangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T^*\Omega$, such that

(1)
$$\operatorname{CT}^* \Omega = \mathbf{T}' + \overline{\mathbf{T}}'.$$

We shall call m the fiber dimension of T'. Note that, by (1), dim $\Omega \leq 2m$. (If dim $\Omega = 2m$ the structure is a complex one, a case in which we are not interested here). The structure T' is said to be <u>locally integrable</u> or, equivalently, the CR manifold (Ω, T') is said to be <u>locally embeddable</u> if every point of Ω has an open neighborhood over which T' is generated by m closed (or exact) one-forms. A function, or a distribution, f, such that df is a section of T' is said to be a CR function, or distribution. It ought perhaps to be said that CR stands for Cauchy-Riemann.

H. Lewy [3] (1956) has raised the question as to whether a strongly pseudoconvex CR structure, on a (2m-1)-dimensional manifold Ω , is always locally embeddable. Pseudoconvexity is defined by means of the Levi form (see below, (8)). That the answer is no was shown by L. Nirenberg [4] (1972) when dim Ω = 3, in which case the Levi form is a scalar (and m = 2). Here we show that the CR-structures that have non degenerate Levi forms, with one eigenvalue of one sign and all others of the opposite sign, and which are not locally embeddable, are dense (in a sensemade precise below: see Theorem and remarks that follow).

Our view point will be strictly local. We shall hence forth suppose that Ω is an open neighborhood of the origin in an Euclidean space, specifically \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . We shall limit ourselves to the case where

(2)
$$n = m - 1$$
.

Thus the fiber dimension of T' \cap T' is one. We shall begin by assuming that there are m $^{\infty}$ functions Z $^1, \ldots, ^m$ in Ω , complex valued, such that dZ $^1, \ldots, ^d$ span T' at

^(*) The present work is a generalization of some recent joint work, [2], with H. Jacobowitz (Rutgers University).

⁽ \blacklozenge) For a positive answer to the <u>global</u> embeddability question, when Ω is compact and has dimension \geqslant 5, see Boutet de Monvel [1].

each point of Ω . After a contraction of Ω about the origin, possibly a modification of the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} , which we denote by x^1,\ldots,x^m , y^1,\ldots,y^n , and a \mathfrak{C} -linear substitution on the z^j 's , we may assume that

(3)
$$z^{j} = x^{j} + \sqrt{-1} y^{j}, j = 1,...,m-1 (= n),$$

$$z^{m} = x^{m} + \sqrt{-1} \Phi(x,y),$$

with

(5)
$$\Phi$$
 real, $\Phi(0,0) = 0$, $d\Phi(0,0) = 0$.

(see [5], Ch. I, p.20) .

Henceforth we write $z^j=x^j+iy^j$ (j = 1,...,n). But notice that the mapping

(6)
$$(x,y) \longrightarrow Z(x,y) = (Z^{1}(x,y),...,Z^{m}(x,y))$$

defines a <u>diffeomorphism</u> on the **(**real) hypersurface $Z(\Omega)$ of ${\mathbb C}^m$ defined by the equation

(7)
$$y^{m} = \Phi(x, y'), \quad y' = (y^{1}, \dots, y^{m-1}).$$

This justifies that we call (6) a (local) embedding.

Next we introduce the <u>Levi form</u> of the structure, at the origin (without attempting to give here an invariant definition):

(8)
$$Q(\zeta) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial z^{j} \partial \overline{z}^{k}} (0,0) \zeta^{j} \overline{\zeta}^{k} \qquad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{C}^{n}).$$

Note that

(9)
$$\Phi(x',0,y') = \text{Re}(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} b_{jk} z^{j} z^{k}) + Q(z) + O(|z|^{3}).$$

It is convenient to introduce the function

$$w = z^{m} - \sqrt{-1} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1}}^{n} b_{jk} z^{j} z^{k} ,$$

and to use the new coordinate s = ReW in the place of x^m . Instead of z^m (see (4)) we shall reason with

$$W = s + i\phi(z,s),$$

noting that

(11)
$$\varphi(z,s) = Q(z) + O(|z|^3 + |s||z| + |s|^2).$$

Our basic hypothesis will be :

(12) The Levi form Q is non degenerate and it has exactly n - 1 eigenvalues of a given sign, and one of the opposite sign (i.e. it has signature n-2).

We shall assume that one eigenvalue of Q is $\stackrel{>}{>}$ O and n - 1 are < O. After a linear substitution on the z^j 's we may assume that

(13)
$$Q(z) = |z^{1}|^{2} - |z''|^{2},$$

whre $z'' = (z^2, ..., z^n)$. By (11) we see that, in a suitable neighborhood of the origin, $U \subset \Omega$,

(14)
$$\varphi(z,s) \leq 2|z^1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|z''|^2 + C|s| (|z| + |s|),$$

The orthogonal T' $^{\perp}$ of T', for the natural duality between vectors and covectors, is generated over Ω by the following n vector fields:

(15)
$$L_{j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{-j}} - i\lambda_{j}(z,s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} , j = 1,...,n ,$$

where the coefficients λ_{i} are computed by writing that $L_{i}W = 0$:

(16)
$$\lambda_{j} = (1 + i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s})^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}^{j}} , \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

(Incidentally the fact that T' is closed is equivalent to the property that the commutation bracket of any two smooth sections of $T^{'\perp}$ is a section of $T^{'\perp}$). We may now state our result :

Theorem : Suppose (13) holds. Then there is a function $g \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, vanishing to infinite order at the origin, such that the following is true :

(17) There is an open neighborhood U of the origin in Ω such that, for every j = 1, ..., n,

$$\lambda_{j}^{\#} = \lambda_{j} (1 + g/z^{1})$$

 $\underline{is \ a} \ C^{\infty} \underline{function \ in} \ U ;$

(18) the vector fields
$$L_j^\# = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j^\# \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}$$
 in U (j = 1,...,n) commute pairwise;

(19) given any open neighborhood $V \subset U$ of the origin, any solution $h \in C^1(V)$ of the equations

(20)
$$L_{j}^{\#}h = 0, j = 1,...,n,$$

has the property that $dh|_{0}$ is a linear commination of $dz^{1},...,dz^{n}$.

The meaning of this theorem is, roughly, the following:

Let T' be a CR structure on a manifold Ω of dimension 2n+1. Suppose that T' Π T' is a line bundle (i.e., the structure has "codimension one"). Suppose that, in the neighborhood of a point ω_{0} of Ω , the CR structure T' is embedabble, and has a non degenerate Levi form whose signature is equal to n - 2. Then there is another CR structure T' in the neighborhood of ω_{0} , tangent at ω_{0} to T' to infinite order, which is not locally embeddable (at ω_{0}).

<u>Proof of Theorem</u>: Inspired by Nirenberg [4] we select a sequence of compact subsets $K_{\mathcal{V}}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$) in the upper half-plane Im $\nu > 0$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$) in the upper half-plane Im $\nu > 0$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$) having various properties:

- (21) <u>as</u> $v \rightarrow +\infty$, K <u>converges to</u> $\{0\}$;
- (22) the projections of the K_{v} into the real axis are pairwise disjoint;
- (23) there is a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$K \subset \Gamma^{\varepsilon} = \{s + it ; |s| < \varepsilon t\}.$$

We shall furthermore assume that the interior $K_{\mathcal{V}}$ of $K_{\mathcal{V}}$ is not empty, whatever \mathcal{V} .

We note that, if $s + i\phi(z,s) \in \Gamma^{\epsilon}$, we derive from (14) :

$$(\epsilon^{-1} - C(|z| + |s|))|s| + \frac{1}{2}|z''|^2 \le 2|z^1|^2$$
,

and therefore, by choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough ,

(24)
$$\varepsilon^{-1}|s| + |z''|^2 \leq 4|z^1|^2, \quad (s,z) \in U, W \in \Gamma^{\varepsilon}.$$

According to (11) we have

(25)
$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z^{j}} = \pm z^{j} + O(|z|^{2} + |s|).$$

We note that, by (16), we have :

(26)
$$\lambda_{j}/z^{1} = [\pm z^{j} + O(|z|^{2} + |s|)]/z^{1}.$$

We select, for each v, a function $f_v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ having the following properties :

(27)
$$f_{y} \ge 0$$
 everywhere, supp $f_{y} \subset K_{y}$, $f_{y}(w_{y}) > 0$ for some $w_{y} \in K_{y}$;

(28)
$$f = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} f_v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) ;$$

(29)
$$\lambda_{j} g/z^{1} \in c^{\infty}(U),$$

where

$$g(f \cdot W)/[1 + (f \cdot W) (\log W_s)/z^1]$$
.

Let us show that (29) can be achieved (in particular by taking U small enough). Recalling that W = s + $i\phi(z,s)$, we see that $log(1+i\phi_s)$ is well defined provided U is small; furthermore $log(1+i\phi_s) = O(|z|+|s|)$, hence is O(|z'|) on supp (f \circ W), by (23) and (24). Since f is flat at the origin, both (f \circ W)($log\ W_s$)/ z^1 and λ_j (f \circ W)/ z_1 (cf. (26)) are C^∞ in U, and flat at the origin, whence easily (29). By differentiating L_j W = O with respect to s and dividing by W_s we get

(30)
$$L_{j}(\log W_{s}) = i\lambda_{js}, j = 1,...,n$$
.

A straightforward computation yields

(31)
$$L_{j}g + i\lambda_{js}g^{2}/z^{1} = \lambda_{j} h , j = 1,...,n,$$

where h is a certain function of (z,s). We have used the fact that $L_j(f \circ W) = L_j\overline{W}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} \circ W)$, and $L_j\overline{W} = L_j(W + \overline{W}) = 2L_js = -2i\lambda_j$:

(32)
$$\lambda_{j} = \frac{i}{2}L_{j}\overline{W}, j = 1,...,n.$$

Note that $L_k \lambda_j = L_j \lambda_k$ (hence $[L_j, L_k] = 0$). We have

$$[L_{j}^{\#}, L_{k}^{\#}] = [L_{j} - i\lambda_{j} \frac{g}{z^{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, L_{k} - i\lambda_{k} \frac{g}{z^{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}] = -iq\frac{\partial}{\partial s},$$

where

$$z^{1}q = L_{j}(\lambda_{k}g) - L_{k}(\lambda_{j}g) - i\lambda_{j} \frac{g}{z^{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\lambda_{k}g)$$

$$+ i \lambda_{k} \frac{g}{z^{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\lambda_{j}g)$$

$$= \lambda_{k}(L_{j}g + i \frac{g^{2}}{z^{1}} \lambda_{js}) - \lambda_{j}(L_{k}g + i \frac{g^{2}}{z^{1}} \lambda_{ks})$$

$$= 0 \text{ according to (31)}.$$

This proves (18).

Finally suppose that $h \in C^1(V)$ is a solution of (20). In particular it is a solution of $L_1^\# h = 0$ on the plane $z^2 = \ldots = z^n = 0$. We shall prove below that this implies $h_S(0,0) = 0$. Because of the special form of the equations (20) (see (18)) this implies $\partial_{\overline{z}} h(0,0) = 0$, whence (19).

The proof is reduced to the case where n = 1. We content ourselves with sketching the argument, which is essentially the same as that given, with full details, in [2]. Let us write x, y, z = x + iy, rather than x^1 , y^1 , z^1 , and $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - i\lambda(z,s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ rather than L_1 . We have

$$\varphi(z,s) = |z|^2 + O(|z|^3 + |s||z| + |s|^2).$$

By the implicit function theorem there is a C function, in a neighborhood of zero, z(s), with z(0) = 0, such that, if we set $\phi_0(s) = \phi(z(s),s)$, we have

(33)
$$\varphi(z,s) - \varphi_0(s) > c_0|z - z(s)|^2$$
 (c > 0).

Furthermore ϕ_O (O) = O. We may therefore assume that the intersection of the cone $\Gamma^{\mathcal{E}}$ (see (23)) with a small open disk centered at the origin, in the w = s + it plane, is entirely contained in the region

(34)
$$t > \phi_{o}(s)$$
.

We may and shall assume that all the compact sets $K_{\mathcal{V}}$ are contained in the open set (34), and we shall denote by $\mathscr{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the complement of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{V}}$ in (34), by $\mathscr{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the set of points $(z,s) \in \Omega$ such that $W=s+i\phi(z,s) \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Notice that we have, in $\mathscr{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$:

Because of (33), when $w = s + it \in \Re_O$, the equation $\phi(z,s) = t$ defines a smooth closed curve in the z-plane, $\gamma(w)$, winding aroun z(s). We can use the parameter $\theta = \text{Arg}(z - z(s))$ on $\gamma(w)$. This defines a smooth map

(36)
$$s^{1} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow (\theta, w) \longmapsto (z, s) \in \mathcal{R}$$

By virtue of (35) we have dh = A dw + B dz in \Re , hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}}(h\frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(h \frac{\partial z}{\partial \overline{w}}) \qquad \text{since} \qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \overline{w}} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} = 0 .$$

This implies that the integral I(w) = $\int_{\gamma(w)}^{\gamma(w)} h \ dZ \text{ is a holomorphic function of } w \text{ in } R_O. \text{ Since } \gamma(w) \text{ contracts to the point } z(s) \text{ when } t = \phi_O(s), \text{ we have } I(w) = 0 \text{ on this curve, therefore everywhere in } R_O \text{ (note that there is "enough room" for the zeros to propagate around the sets } K_V \text{ , thanks to (22)). We select then a smooth closed curve } C_V \text{ in } R_O \text{ winding around } K_V \text{ , such that no point of any set } K_V, \text{ , } V' \neq V \text{ , lies inside or on } C_V \text{ . We derive}$

(37)
$$\int_{C} \int_{\gamma} h(z,s) dz \wedge dw = 0.$$

The 2-chain $\Sigma_{V} = \{(z,s); (W,z) \in C_{V} \times \gamma\}$ is a kind of torus whose inside we call G_{V} . Stokes theorem implies

$$\iiint_{\mathcal{C}_{i,j}} dh \wedge dz \wedge dw = 0.$$

But $dh = A dW + B dZ + Lh d\overline{Z}$, hence (38) reads

(39)
$$\iiint_{\mathcal{C}_{V}} (\lambda/z^{1}) g h_{S} d\overline{z} \wedge dz \wedge dw = 0$$

since Lh = $i \lambda g h_S/z^1$. Near the origin, on supp g(cf.(24))

$$\lambda/z^1 \sim 1$$
, g ~ f \circ W .

If $h_s(0,0)$ were $\neq 0$, in (39) the argument of the integrand would have a well-defined limit as $v \to +\infty$: (39) could not hold true for v large enough.

REFERENCES

- [1] Boutet de Monvel, L. <u>Intégration des équations de Cauchy-Riemann induites</u>

 <u>formelles</u>, Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1974-75, Exposé n° 9, Ecole Polytechnique,

 Palaiseau (France).
- [2] Jacobowitz, H. & Treves, F. Homogeneous solvability, to appear.
- [3] Lewy, H. On the local character of the solutions of an atypical linear differential equation in three variables and a related theorem for regular functions of two complex variables, Ann. of Math. 64 (1956), 514-522.
- [4] Nirenberg, L. On a question of Hans Lewy, Uspekhi, vol. in honor of Petrowski, English transl. (1973), 251-262.
- [5] Treves, F. Approximation and representation of functions and distributions annihilated by a system of complex vector fields, Lecture Notes, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau (France) 1981.

